arXiv:1111.7158v1 [math.CV] 30 Nov 2011

KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW AND RICCI ITERATION ON LOG-FANO VARIETIES

R.J.BERMAN, S.BOUCKSOM, P.EYSSIDIEUX, V.GUEDJ, AND A. ZERIAHI

Abstract. We prove the existence and uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein metrics on log-Fano varieties whose Mabuchi functional is proper. We then study analogues of the works of Perelman, Tian and Zhu on the convergence of the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow, and of Keller, Rubinstein on its discrete version, Ricci iteration. In the special case of (smooth) Fano manifolds, our results on Ricci iteration yield smooth convergence without any additional condition, improving on previous results. Our result for the Kähler-Ricci flow provides weak convergence independently of Perelman's celebrated estimates.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Degenerate Monge-Ampère equations 6
2. Finite energy conditions 12
3. Measures of finite entropy 17
4. Monge-Ampère mean field equations 20
5. 'Ricci iteration' for Monge-Ampère mean field equations 25
6. Convergence of the Monge-Ampère flow 27
7. Kähler-Einstein metrics on log-Fano varieties 31
8. Ricci iteration and Kähler-Ricci flow on log-Fano varieties 37
References 41

Date: December 1, 2011.

INTRODUCTION

Complex Monge-Ampère equations have been one of the most powerful tools in Kähler geometry since Aubin and Yau's classical works [Aub78, Yau78], culminating in Yau's solution to the Calabi conjecture. A notable application is the construction of Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds. Whereas their existence on manifolds with trivial or ample canonical class was settled as a corollary of the Calabi conjecture, determining necessary and sufficient conditions on a Fano manifold to carry a Kähler-Einstein metric is still an open problem that attracts a lot of attention.

In recent years, following the pioneering work [Tsu88], degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations have been intensively studied by many authors. In relation to the Minimal Model Program, they led to the construction of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics with zero or negative Ricci curvature [EGZ09] or, more generally, of canonical volume forms on compact Kähler manifolds with nonnegative Kodaira dimension [ST09].

Making sense of and constructing singular Kähler-Einstein metrics on (possibly singular) Fano varieties turned out to require more advanced tools in the study of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations. A first step in this direction was taken in [BBGZ09], which combined a variational approach with the finite energy formalism of [GZ07, BEGZ10] to give a new perspective on the classical results of Ding-Tian [Tian]. These techniques were then extended by the first named author in [Ber10], where an adequate extension of the Mabuchi functional to finite energy metrics was proposed using the notion of relative entropy. In the present work, we push this approach further to the case of (possibly singular) log-Fano varieties, and obtain analogues of the convergence theorems on Ricci iteration [Kel09, Rub08], and of a weak version of the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow [TZ07]. The main new technical ingredient in the proof of our convergence results is a compactness result (with respect to convergence in energy) for metrics with uniformly bounded relative entropy.

0.1. **Log-Fano varieties.** In this paper, we will (slightly abusively) call *log-Fano variety* a pair (Y, D) consisting of a normal projective (complex) variety Y together with an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D such that:

- The pair (Y, D) has *Kawamata log-terminal singularities* (*klt* for short).
- The *log-anticanonical divisor* $-(K_Y + D)$ is ($\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and) ample.

We set $n := \dim Y$ and $Y^0 := Y_{\mathrm{reg}} \setminus \operatorname{supp} D$. The klt condition requires in particular that D has coefficients < 1; the latter condition conversely implies (Y, D) is klt in case the latter pair is *log-smooth* (in the sense that Y is smooth and $\operatorname{supp} D$ has simple normal crossings).

Besides the log-smooth case, another interesting class of log-Fano varieties is provided by quotients of Fano manifolds (and, more generally, by Fano orbifolds). If G is a finite group acting on a smooth projective variety X then the ramification divisor of the Galois cover $p : X \to X/G =: Y$ can be uniquely written as the pull-back of an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D. We thus have $K_X = p^*(K_Y + D)$, which implies that (Y, D) is log-Fano iff X is Fano (see [GK07] for a nice discussion of the more general case of orbifolds, aka smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks).

0.2. **Kähler-Einstein metrics: regularity, existence and uniqueness.** There is a natural notion of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a log-Fano variety (Y, D), defined as follows. Each metric ϕ on the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $-(K_Y + D)$ induces in a canonical way a positive measure

$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ on $Y^0 := Y_{\mathrm{reg}} \setminus \operatorname{supp} D$, which is locally of the form

$$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} = |\sigma|_{r\phi}^{-2/r} \left(i^{rn^2} \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} \right)^{1/r}$$

where σ is a local generator of the line bundle $r(K_Y + D)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently divisible. The klt assumption amounts to saying that $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ has finite total mass on Y^0 (when ϕ is locally bounded), which then allows us to view $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ as a finite measure on Y. A *Kähler-Einstein metric* is then defined as a locally bounded psh metric ϕ on $-(K_Y + D)$ such that

$$(dd^c\phi)^n = \lambda \, \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$$

for some normalizing constant $\lambda > 0$, where $dd^c\phi$ denotes (somewhat abusively) the curvature current of ϕ, and the positive measure $(dd^c\phi)^n$ is defined in the sense of pluripotential theory [BT82].

As we shall see, any locally bounded Kähler-Einstein metric is in fact automatically smooth on Y^0, and continuous on the whole of Y (cf. Theorem 7.6 below, which is a partial generalization of [SzTo09]). In particular, $dd^c\phi$ induces a *bona fide* smooth positively curved Kähler-Einstein metric on Y^0.

Regarding uniqueness, we obtain a version of [BM87] in our context. Namely, we show that the identity component $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$ of the group of automorphisms of (Y, D) acts transitively on Kähler-Einstein metrics (again modulo constants). Our proof mostly builds on the recent work of Berndtsson [Bern11], with some additional technicalities due to the possible singularities of Y.

In the log-smooth case, the existence problem for (singular) Kähler-Einstein metrics was recently considered by the first named author in [Ber10], where the Mabuchi functional was extended to the setting of finite energy metrics, using the notion of relative entropy. Following this lead, we define a Mabuchi functional $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$ and a J-functional $J_{(Y,D)}$ in our context, and say as usual that the Mabuchi functional is *proper* if $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)} \to +\infty$ as $J_{(Y,D)} \to +\infty$. As in [Ber10], we use the variational approach of [BBGZ09] to show that the properness of the Mabuchi functional implies the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on (Y, D) (cf. Theorem 4.6), generalizing a result of Ding and Tian. It should be recalled here that for a (smooth) Fano manifold Y with $H^0(T_Y) = 0$, a deep result of Tian [Tia97], strengthened in [PSSW08], conversely shows that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric implies the properness of the Mabuchi functional.

0.3. Convergence of Ricci iteration.

In their independent works [Kel09] and [Rub08], J. Keller and Y. Rubinstein investigated the dynamical system known as *Ricci iteration*, defined by iterating the inverse Ricci operator. Our first main result deals with the convergence of Ricci iteration in the more general context of log-Fano varieties.

Theorem A. *Let (Y, D) be a log-Fano variety. Assume that its Mabuchi functional is proper, so that there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric ϕ_{KE}, unique up to a constant. Given any initial smooth psh metric ϕ_0 on $-(K_Y + D)$, consider the sequence of psh metrics $(\phi_j)_{j\geq 0}$ inductively defined by solving for each $j \geq 1$*

$$(dd^c\phi_j)^n = \lambda_j \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_{j-1}},$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$ is a normalizing constant. Then ϕ_j is continuous on Y, smooth on Y^0, and there exists a sequence of normalizing constants c_j such that $\phi_j + c_j \to \phi_{\mathrm{KE}}$, the convergence being uniform over Y and in C^∞-topology over $Y^0 = Y_{\mathrm{reg}} \setminus \operatorname{supp} D$.

When Y is smooth and $D = 0$, this result had been conjectured in [Rub08, Conjecture 3.2], while [Rub08, Theorem 3.3] established the result under the assumption that Tian's α-invariant satisfies $\alpha(Y) > 1$ (a stronger assumption than the properness of the Mabuchi functional). Our main input in that case is Corollary 2.12 below, which yields the C^0-estimate thanks to [Koł98], and allows to conclude using the same arguments as in [Rub08].

On the other hand, building on a preliminary version of the present paper to get the C^0-estimate, a much more precise version of Theorem A was obtained in [JMR11, Theorem 2.5], when Y is smooth and D is a supported on a smooth irreducible hypersurface. Using "edge calculus", the authors showed that the metrics involved have in particular cone (or edge) singularities along D, and prove that the convergence also holds in a way that encodes this information.

0.4. **Weak convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow.** Let again (Y, D) be a log-Fano variety. Thanks to the work of J. Song and G. Tian [ST09], given any initial smooth psh metric ϕ_0 on $-(K_Y + D)$ there exists a uniquely defined complex Monge-Ampère flow $(\phi_t)_{t>0}$ which is characterized by the following properties:

(i) For each $t > 0$ ϕ_t is a locally bounded psh metric on $-(K_Y + D)$.

(ii) The map $(t, x) \mapsto \phi_t(x)$ is smooth on $]0, +\infty[\times Y^0$, where it satisfies the parabolic Monge-Ampère equation

$$\dot{\phi}_t = \log\left(\frac{v^{-1}(dd^c\phi_t)^n}{v_t^{-1}\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_t}}\right),$$

with v (resp. v_t) denoting the volume of $(dd^c\phi_t)^n$ (resp. $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_t}$).

(iii) $\lim_{t\to 0_+} \phi_t = \phi_0$ uniformly on compact subsets of Y^0.

In particular, the family of Kähler metrics $dd^c\phi_t|_{Y^0}$ evolves according to the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow. Our second main result is the following weak analogue for log-Fano varieties of Perelman and Tian-Zhu's result on the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds [TZ07].

Theorem B. *Let (Y, D) be a log-Fano variety. Assume that its Mabuchi functional is proper and denote by ϕ_{KE} its Kähler-Einstein metric (which is unique up to a constant). Given any initial smooth psh metric ϕ_0 on $-(K_Y + D)$ let ϕ_t be the corresponding Kähler-Ricci flow as described above. Then we have*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} dd^c\phi_t = dd^c\phi_{\mathrm{KE}}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} (dd^c\phi_t)^n = (dd^c\phi_{\mathrm{KE}})^n,$$

both in the weak topology of currents on Y.

In case Y is smooth and $D = 0$ the above result is certainly weaker than Perelman's theorem, which yields convergence in C^∞-topology (assuming $H^0(T_Y) = 0$). On the other hand, our approach, which relies on a variational argument using results of [BBGZ09], is completely independent of Perelman's deep estimates - which are at any rate out of reach for the moment on singular varieties.

0.5. **Reduction to Monge-Ampère mean field equations.** The proofs of the aforementioned results are actually carried out on a log-resolution of the log-Fano variety (Y, D). Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a log-resolution of (Y, D) and let ψ_0 be a reference smooth strictly psh metric on $-(K_Y + D)$. The $(1, 1)$-form $\theta := \pi^* dd^c \psi_0$ is merely semipositive on X, but it is also *big* in the sense that $\int_X \theta^n = v > 0$. Mapping a metric ϕ on $-(K_Y + D)$ to the function $\varphi := \pi^*(\phi - \psi_0)$ on X defines a bijection between psh metrics and *θ-psh functions* on X. We then have

$$\pi^*(dd^c\phi)^n = (\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n, \ \pi^*\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} = e^{-\varphi}\mu$$

where μ is a positive measure with L^p density for some $p > 1$, thanks to the klt assumption. We thus see that the Kähler-Einstein equation for the metric ϕ reads

$$(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^{-\varphi}\mu \tag{0.1}$$

in terms of the corresponding θ-psh function φ. Following the terminology of [Ber10] we call this class of equations *Monge-Ampère mean field equations*.

The first half of the article deals more generally with this class of equations for positive measures μ that have *local Hölder potentials* in the sense that μ is locally the Monge-Ampère measure of a Hölder continuous psh function. Besides positive measures with L^p-density, this class of measures comprises for example Lebesgue measure on smooth real hypersurfaces of X by [Hiep10].

A key property of measures with local Hölder potentials is the *exponential integrability property* [DNS10], which guarantees in particular that θ-psh functions with identically zero Lelong numbers satisfy $e^{-\varphi} \in L^q(\mu)$ for all $q < +\infty$ (when μ has L^p density this follows from Hölder inequality and the exponential integrability property of Lebesgue measure [Sko72]). Another key ingredient in our approach is the fact that θ-psh functions with *finite $\mathcal{E}^1$-energy* in the sense of [GZ07, BEGZ10] have identically zero Lelong numbers. This fact is nontrivial in our case since θ is only semipositive on X. It is obtained as a consequence of a very recent joint work of B. Berndtsson and the first-named author [BeBe11] on generalized Moser-Trudinger inequalities.

Using these facts we may in particular introduce a Mabuchi-type functional Mab_μ whose extremal functions are solutions to the Monge-Ampère mean field equation (0.1). A main technical aspect of our approach is a compactness result with respect to *convergence in energy*. This notion of convergence, which was introduced in [BBGZ09], is well-adapted to pluripotential theory since it ensures continuity of the Monge-Ampère operator. Corollary 3.11 states that any sequence (φ_j) of (normalized) θ-psh functions whose Monge-Ampère measures $(\theta + dd^c\varphi_j)^n$ have uniformly bounded *entropy* with respect to the measure μ admits a subsequence that converges in energy. The bounded entropy condition is in particular guaranteed when $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_i)$ is bounded above, under the assumption that Mab_μ is proper.

Relying on these results we obtain the following version of "Ricci iteration" in the general context of Monge-Ampère mean field equations:

Theorem C. *Let μ be a positive measure on X with local Hölder potentials and assume that the Mabuchi functional Mab_μ is proper. Assume also that the Monge-Ampère mean field equation (4.1) admits a unique solution φ_{MF} modulo constants.*

Let φ_0 be any initial θ-psh function with finite energy, and define inductively a sequence of θ-psh functions φ_j by solving for each $j \geq 1$

$$(\theta + dd^c\varphi_j)^n = e^{-\varphi_{j-1}}\mu,$$

which admits a continuous solution φ_j that is unique up to a constant. Then there exists a sequence of normalizing constants c_j such that $\varphi_j + c_j$ converges uniformly to φ_{MF} on X.

This result then implies the smooth convergence part of Theorem A thanks to an explicit version of M. Păun's Laplacian estimates [Pau08]. Similarly, Theorem B is obtained as a consequence of a weak convergence result for certain Monge-Ampère flows satisfying a number of technical conditions.

0.6. Structure of the article.

The article is organized as follows:

- Section 1 is a recap on degenerate Monge-Ampère equations. It provides in particular a quantitative version of [Pau08].
- Section 2 is a reminder on finite energy conditions for quasi-psh functions and for measures. It emphasizes in particular the notion of convergence in energy, which was only mentioned in passing in [BBGZ09].
- Section 3 is devoted to the integrability properties of quasi-psh functions with finite energy against measures of finite entropy.
- Section 4 is devoted to Monge-Ampère mean field equations and the corresponding functionals. A variational characterization of solutions is provided under adequate assumptions on the measure.
- Section 5 studies 'Ricci iteration' in the context of Monge-Ampère mean field equations and provides a proof of Theorem C.
- Section 6 investigates the convergence of the Monge-Ampère flow associated to the Monge-Ampère mean field equation.
- Section 7 proves existence and uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein metrics on log-Fano varieties with proper Mabuchi functional.
- Section 8 contains the proofs of Theorems A and B, and also discusses examples.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Bo Berndtsson for uncountably many interesting discussions related to this work, and in particular for his help regarding the uniqueness theorem.

1. Degenerate Monge-Ampère equations

In what follows we denote by (X, ω) a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n = \dim X$, endowed with a reference Kähler form ω with associated volume form $dV := \omega^n$.

1.1. Quasi-plurisubharmonic functions.

Let θ be a closed *semipositive* $(1,1)$-form on X, which is also *big* in the sense that its *volume*

$$v := \int_X \theta^n$$

is positive. We denote by $\mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$ the set of all θ-psh functions on X, endowed with the weak topology unless otherwise specified. Recall that the weak topology and the L^p-topology coincide on $\mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$ for each $p < +\infty$.

By [EGZ11], every θ-psh function φ may be written as the decreasing limit of a sequence (φ_j) of *continuous* θ-psh functions. This should be compared with [Dem92], which enables to write φ as a decreasing limit of a sequence ψ_j of *smooth* functions, but with a small loss of positivity, i.e. $\theta + \varepsilon_j \omega + dd^c \psi_j \geq 0$ for some sequence $\varepsilon_j > 0$ converging to 0.

A θ-psh function $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$ will be said to be *normalized* if $\sup_X \varphi = 0$. The set of normalized θ-psh functions is compact; indeed the map

$$\varphi \mapsto \theta_\varphi := \theta + dd^c \varphi$$

induces a homeomorphism between normalized θ-psh functions and closed positive $(1,1)$-currents in the cohomology class of θ. Thanks to [BT82], we may define the *Monge-Ampère operator* on *bounded* θ-psh functions by setting

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) := v^{-1} (\theta + dd^c \varphi)^n.$$

With this normalization $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ is a probability measure.

1.2. **The ample locus.** By [DP04], the condition $\int_X \theta^n > 0$ guarantees the existence of a *Kähler current* in the cohomology class of θ. In other words, there exists a θ-psh function ψ such that $\theta + dd^c \psi \geq \delta\, \omega$ for some $\delta > 0$. As a consequence of [Dem92], one can then get the following more precise result (cf. [DP04, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 1.1. *There exists $\psi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$ such that:*

(i) *ψ smooth on a Zariski open set $\Omega \subset X$,*
(ii) *$\psi \to -\infty$ near $\partial\Omega$,*
(iii) *the $(1,1)$-form $(\omega + dd^c \psi)\,|_\Omega$ is the restriction of a* Kähler *form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on a compactification $\widetilde{X}$ of Ω dominating X.*

More precisely, condition (iii) means that there exists a compact Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\omega})$ and a modification $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that π is an isomorphism over Ω and $\pi^*(\omega + dd^c \psi) = \widetilde{\omega}$ on $\pi^{-1}(\Omega)$.

By the Noetherian property of closed analytic subsets, it is easy to see that the set of all Zariski open subsets Ω so obtained admits a largest element, called the *ample locus* of θ and denoted by $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$ (see [Bou04, Theorem 3.17]). Note that $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$ only depends on the cohomology class of θ.

1.3. **Monge-Ampère equations: continuous solutions.** Recall that *Monge-Ampère capacity* Cap_θ is defined as the upper envelope of the family of measures

$$\{\mathrm{MA}(\varphi),\ \varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta) \text{ with } 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1\}.$$

The following result extends Kołodziej's fundamental theorem [Koł98] to the big semipositive case.

Theorem 1.2. [EGZ09, DZ10, EGZ11] *Let μ be a positive measure such that $\int_X \mu = \int_X \theta^n$ and*

$$\mu \leq A\, \mathrm{Cap}_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}$$

for some $A, \varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a unique normalized continuous θ-psh function φ such that

$$(\theta + dd^c \varphi)^n = \mu.$$

If we are furthermore given $C > 0$ such that $\theta \leq C\, \omega$ and $v \geq C^{-1}$, then we have an L^∞-estimate $-M \leq \varphi \leq 0$ where $M > 0$ only depends on A, C, and ε.

The existence of a unique bounded solution with L^∞-estimate was obtained in [EGZ09] by adapting Kołodziej's approach to the big semipositive case. Continuity of the solution was subsequently established in [DZ10] when θ is the pull-back of a Kähler form by a generically finite morphism, and in [EGZ11] in the general case.

We also recall the following result (cf. [Koł98, EGZ09]):

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\mu = f\,dV$ be a measure with L^p-density with $p > 1$ and let $C > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p} \le C$ and $\theta \le C\,\omega$. Then there exists $A > 0$ only depending on p and C such that*

$$\mu \le A\,\mathrm{Cap}_\theta^2 .$$

Combining these results we thus have:

Corollary 1.4. *Let $f \in L^p$ with $p > 1$, $\int f\,dV = v$ and let $C > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p} \le C$ and $\theta \le C\,\omega$, $v \ge C^{-1}$. If φ is a normalized bounded θ-psh function such that*

$$(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n = f\,dV$$

then there exists $M > 0$ only depending on C and p such that $-M \le \varphi \le 0$.

1.4. **Monge-Ampère equations: higher regularity.** The goal of this section is to present a quantitative version of the main result of [Pau08], in a form that will apply later to Ricci iteration. In what follows $\Delta = \mathrm{tr}_\omega\, dd^c$ denotes the (analysts') Laplace operator with respect to the reference Kähler form ω.

Theorem 1.5. *Let μ be a positive measure of the form $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-}dV$ with $\psi^\pm$ quasi-psh and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. Assume that φ is a bounded θ-psh function such that $(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n = \mu$. Then we have $\Delta\varphi = O(e^{-\psi^-})$ locally in $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$.*

More precisely, assume given a constant $C > 0$ such that

- (i) *$dd^c\psi^+ \ge -C\,\omega$ and $\sup_X \psi^+ \le C$.*
- (ii) *$dd^c\psi^- \ge -C\,\omega$ and $\|e^{-\psi^-}\|_{L^p} \le C$.*

Let also $U \Subset \mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$ be a relatively compact open subset. Then there exists $A > 0$ only depending on θ, p, C and U such that

$$0 \le \theta + dd^c\varphi \le A\,e^{-\psi^-}\,\omega \text{ on } U.$$

Remark 1.6. *As in* [Pau08]*, we note that Demailly and Kollár's* openness conjecture [DK01] *predicts that $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^1$ should already imply $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. This is known to hold when ψ^- has analytic singularities, as one sees by spelling out the integrability condition on a log-resolution of the singularities of ψ^-. The 2-dimensonal case of the openness conjecture was established in* [FJ05].

Remark 1.7. *We also recall that $\Delta\varphi \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}$ for some $q < +\infty$ implies that φ locally belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,q}$, which in turn yields $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}$ for all $\alpha < 1 - \frac{2n}{q}$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem.*

Theorem 1.5 recovers in particular [Yau78, Theorem 7, p.398]. By the Evans-Krylov-Trudinger theory, it also yields the following regularity result, which extends to the big semipositive setting a special case of [SzTo09]:

Corollary 1.8. *Let $\mu = e^{\psi^+-\psi^-}dV$ be as in Theorem 1.5 and let φ be a bounded θ-psh function such that*

$$(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^{c\,\varphi}\mu$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If ψ^+ and ψ^- are both C^∞ on a given open subset U of Amp(θ) *then so is φ.*

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We may of course assume that φ is normalized. During the proof $A, A_1, ...$ will denote positive constants that may vary from line to line, but are *under control* in the sense that they only depend on θ, p, C and U. Since U is contained in Amp(θ), we may choose a Zariski open set $\Omega \supset U$ and a θ-psh function as in Lemma 1.1, so that $(\theta + dd^c\psi)|_\Omega$ is the restriction of a Kähler form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on a higher compactification $\widetilde{X}$ of Ω, so that $\widetilde{\omega} \geq \delta\omega$ on Ω for some $\delta > 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is divided in two steps. In the first and main one, an *a priori* estimate for smooth solutions of non-degenerate perturbations of the equation is established. In the second step we conclude using a regularization argument.

Step 1: A priori estimates. For $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we set $\omega_\varepsilon := \widetilde{\omega} + \varepsilon\,\omega$, viewed as a Kähler form on Ω. Note that $\omega_\varepsilon \geq \delta\,\omega$, so that

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\alpha) \leq \delta^{-1}\operatorname{tr}_\omega(\alpha) \tag{1.1}$$

for every positive $(1,1)$-form α. Assume that ψ^+ and ψ^- are *smooth* functions satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.5, and assume given a smooth normalized θ_ε-psh function φ_ε such that

$$(\theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon)^n = e^{\psi^+-\psi^-}\,dV. \tag{1.2}$$

The goal of Step 1 is to establish that $|\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon| \leq A\,e^{-\psi^-}$ on U with $A > 0$ under control. Since we have $\omega_\varepsilon \leq A\omega$ over U with A under control, it will be enough to prove that

$$\omega'_\varepsilon := \theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon$$

satisfies $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \leq A\,e^{-\psi^-}$ on U.

We first recall the Laplacian inequality obtained in [Siu87, pp.98-99]: if τ, τ' are two Kähler forms on a complex manifold, then there exists a constant $B > 0$ only depending on a lower bound for the holomorphic bisectional curvature of τ such that

$$\Delta_{\tau'}\log\operatorname{tr}_\tau(\tau') \geq -\frac{\operatorname{tr}_\tau\operatorname{Ric}(\tau')}{\operatorname{tr}_\tau(\tau')} - B\operatorname{tr}_{\tau'}(\tau). \tag{1.3}$$

We remark that Siu's argument uses the fact that τ and τ' are dd^c-cohomologous. But the general case is valid as well since Siu's computations are purely local and any Kähler form is even locally dd^c-exact. This being said, let us apply this inequality to the two Kähler forms ω_ε and ω'_ε on Ω.

Since $\widetilde{\omega}$ extends to a Kähler form on a higher compactification $\widetilde{X}$ of Ω, the holomorphic bisectional curvature of $\omega_\varepsilon = \widetilde{\omega} + \varepsilon\,\omega$ is obviously bounded over Ω by a constant $B > 0$ under control, and (1.3) yields

$$\Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\log\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \geq -\frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\operatorname{Ric}(\omega'_\varepsilon)}{\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon)} - B\operatorname{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon). \tag{1.4}$$

On the other hand, applying $dd^c\log$ to $(\omega'_\varepsilon)^n = e^{\psi^+-\psi^-}\omega^n$ yields

$$-\mathrm{Ric}(\omega'_\varepsilon) = -\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) + dd^c\psi^+ - dd^c\psi^- \geq -A\omega - dd^c\psi^-$$

where A is under control thanks to (i). Using $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega) \leq n\delta^{-1}$ and the trivial inequality

$$n \leq \mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) \tag{1.5}$$

we thus infer from (1.4)

$$\Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \geq -\frac{\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}\psi^-}{\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon)} - A\,\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon). \tag{1.6}$$

with A under control.

We next argue along the lines of [Pau08, Lemma 3.2] to take care of the term $\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}\psi^-$. By (ii) we have $A\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c\psi^- \geq 0$ with A under control. Applying $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}$ to the trivial inequality

$$0 \leq A\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c\psi^- \leq \mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(A\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c\psi^-)\omega'_\varepsilon$$

yields

$$0 \leq An + \Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}\psi^- \leq (A\,\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) + \Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\psi^-)\,\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon).$$

Plugging this into (1.6) and using again (1.5) we thus obtain

$$\Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\left(\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) + \psi^-\right) \geq -A\,\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon). \tag{1.7}$$

where A is under control. Now set

$$\rho_\varepsilon := \varphi_\varepsilon - \psi,$$

so that $\omega'_\varepsilon = \omega_\varepsilon + dd^c\rho_\varepsilon$. We then have $n = \mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) + \Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\rho_\varepsilon$, and we finally deduce from (1.7) that

$$\Delta_{\omega'_\varepsilon}\left(\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) + \psi^- - A_1\rho_\varepsilon\right) \geq \mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) - A_2 \tag{1.8}$$

on Ω, with A_1, A_2 under control.

We are now in a position to apply the maximum principle. On the one hand, $\rho_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon - \psi$ tends to $+\infty$ near $\partial\Omega$ (cf. condition (ii) in Lemma 1.1). On the other hand, $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \leq \delta^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}_\omega(\omega'_\varepsilon)$ is bounded above on Ω since ω'_ε is smooth over X. The function

$$H := \log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) + \psi^- - A_1\rho_\varepsilon$$

therefore achieves its maximum at some $x_0 \in \Omega$, and (1.8) yields $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon)(x_0) \leq A_2$. On the other hand, trivial eigenvalue considerations show that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\tau_1}(\tau_2) \leq n\,(\tau_2^n/\tau_1^n)\,\mathrm{tr}_{\tau_2}(\tau_1)^{n-1}$$

for any two Kähler forms τ_1, τ_2, whence

$$\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \leq \psi^+ - \psi^- + \log\left(\frac{\omega^n}{\omega_\varepsilon^n}\right) + (n-1)\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) + \log n$$

by (1.2). Using $\omega \leq \delta^{-1}\omega_\varepsilon$ it follows that

$$H \leq A_3\log\mathrm{tr}_{\omega'_\varepsilon}(\omega_\varepsilon) + A_4 - A_1\rho_\varepsilon$$

where A_3, A_4 are under control, and we obtain

$$\sup_\Omega H = H(x_0) \leq A_5 - A_1\inf_\Omega\rho_\varepsilon \leq A_5 - A_1\inf_X\varphi_\varepsilon$$

with A_5 under control, since $\rho_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon - \psi$ and $\psi \le 0$. By the L^∞-estimate provided by Corollary 1.4 we now obtain

$$\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) + \psi^- - A_1\rho_\varepsilon = H \le A$$

on Ω for some constant A under control. Since φ_ε is normalized we conversely have $\rho_\varepsilon \le -\psi \le A_6$ over $U \Subset \Omega$, and we finally infer as desired $\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\omega'_\varepsilon) \le Ae^{-\psi^-}$ on U.

Step 2: Regularization. We now consider the set-up of Theorem 1.5. By Demailly's regularization theorem [Dem92], there exist two decreasing sequences of smooth functions $\psi_j^\pm$ such that

- $\lim_{j\to\infty} \psi_j^\pm = \psi^\pm$ on X.
- $dd^c\psi_j^\pm \ge -A\,\omega$ for some $A > 0$ under control.

In fact, the constant $A > 0$ depends in principle on the Lelong numbers of the quasi-psh functions $\psi^\pm$ according to Demailly's result, but these Lelong numbers can be uniformly bounded in terms of the lower bound $-C\omega$ for $dd^c\psi^\pm$ by a standard argument, see for instance [Bou02, Lemma 2.5].

For each $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ the closed $(1,1)$-form $\theta + \varepsilon\,\omega$ is Kähler, and Yau's theorem [Yau78] yields smooth normalized θ_ε-psh functions $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}$ such that

$$(\theta_\varepsilon + dd^c\varphi_{\varepsilon,j})^n = e^{\psi_j^+ - \psi_j^- + c_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega^n,$$

where $c_{\varepsilon,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a normalizing constant. Since $e^{\psi_j^+ - \psi_j^-} \le e^{C-\psi^-}$ is uniformly bounded in L^p, $c_{\varepsilon,j}$ is under control and Step 1 of the proof shows that

$$|\Delta\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}| \le A\,e^{-\psi_j^-} \tag{1.9}$$

over U, with $A > 0$ under control.

Now for each fixed j it follows from [BEGZ10, Lemma 5.3] that $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}$ converges weakly as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the normalized solution φ_j of

$$(\theta + dd^c\varphi_j)^n = e^{\psi_j^+ - \psi_j^- + c_j}\omega^n,$$

which therefore satisfies as well $|\Delta\varphi_j| \le A\,e^{-\psi_j^-}$ on U. But we also have $e^{\psi_j^+ - \psi_j^-} \to e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-}$ in L^p by dominated convergence, and it follows that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ weakly on X by the degenerate version of Kołodziej's stability theorem ([EGZ09, Theorem A], see also Corollary 3.12 below), which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

Proof of Corollary 1.8. Theorem 1.5 shows immediately that $\Delta\varphi$ is locally bounded on U, and in particular $\varphi \in W^{2,p}$ locally on U for every $p < +\infty$. As explained in [Bło99], we may then apply Trudinger's regularity results for solutions to fully non-linear elliptic equations [Tru84] to get $\varphi \in C^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, locally on U. We then conclude that φ is actually C^∞ on U following the standard elliptic boot-strapping technique (differentiating the equation and using Schauder's estimates for linear second order elliptic PDE with Hölder continuous coefficients). □

2. Finite energy conditions

The goal of this section is to collect basic facts about quasi-plurisubharmonic functions with finite energy. We refer to [GZ07, BEGZ10, BBGZ09] for more details. We denote by X a compact Kähler manifold and by θ a fixed smooth closed semipositive $(1,1)$-form such that $v := \int_X \theta^n > 0$.

2.1. Functions with full Monge-Ampère mass.

Given a general θ-psh function φ, the function $\varphi_k := \max\{\varphi, -k\}$ is θ-psh and bounded for each k, and it follows from [BT87] that the sequence of measures $\mu_k := \mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi>-k\}}\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_k)$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi>-k\}}\mu_{k+1} = \mu_k,$$

so that it is in particular non-decreasing. We then say that φ has *full Monge-Ampère mass* if $\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu_k(X) = 1$, which means that the positive measure

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi>-k\}}\mathrm{MA}\left(\max\{\varphi, -k\}\right)$$

is a probability measure. The Monge-Ampère operator so defined on θ-psh functions with full Monge-Ampère mass is then shown to be continuous along *monotonic* sequences.

The set of θ-psh functions with full Monge-Ampère mass is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(X,\theta)$, and we set

$$\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta) := \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X,\theta),\ \varphi \in L^1\left(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)\right)\right\}.$$

It is important to note that $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ is *not* a closed subset of $\mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$, so that the set of normalized θ-psh functions with finite energy is not compact.

2.2. The Monge-Ampère energy.

Just as in the usual case of Kähler potentials, the Monge-Ampère operator defined on bounded θ-psh functions admits a primitive, which means that there exists a functional E defined on bounded θ-psh functions and such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} E\left(t\varphi + (1-t)\psi\right) = \int(\varphi-\psi)\mathrm{MA}(\psi)$$

for any two bounded θ-psh functions φ, ψ. This functional E is uniquely determined up to a constant, which can be normalized by requiring $E(0) = 0$. Integrating along line segments yields the explicit formula

$$E(\varphi) - E(\psi) = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} v^{-1}\int(\varphi-\psi)\theta_\varphi^j \wedge \theta_\psi^{n-j} \tag{2.1}$$

for any two bounded θ-psh functions φ, ψ. The functional E trivially satisfies the equivariance relation

$$E(\varphi + c) = E(\varphi) + c$$

for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$. It is also shown to be concave and non-decreasing on bounded θ-psh functions, and it can thus be extended by monotonocity as a functional

$$E : \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta) \to [-\infty, +\infty[$$

by setting $E(\varphi) := \inf_{\psi\geq\varphi} E(\psi)$ where ψ ranges over all *bounded* θ-psh functions such that $\psi \geq \varphi$ on X. The functional E of course remains concave and non-decreasing on $\mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$, and it is furthermore shown to be upper semi-continuous.

As was shown in [GZ07] (see also [BEGZ10]), the domain of the functional E coincides with the set $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ defined above, i.e. we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta),\ E(\varphi) > -\infty\}.$$

By upper semicontinuity of E it follows that the convex set

$$\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta) := \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta),\ \sup_X \varphi \le C \text{ and } E(\varphi) \ge -C\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

is compact for each $C > 0$.

We finally recall the definition of two related functionals that were originally introduced by Aubin. The *J-functional* (based at a given $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$) is the translation invariant functional defined by setting

$$J_\psi(\varphi) := E(\psi) + \int (\varphi - \psi)\mathrm{MA}(\psi) - E(\varphi).$$

Note that $J_\psi(\varphi) = E(\psi) + \langle \varphi - \psi, E'(\psi)\rangle - E(\varphi) \ge 0$ by concavity of E. For $\psi = 0$ we simply write $J(\varphi) := J_0(\varphi)$, which induces an exhaustion function of $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)/\mathbb{R}$ by compactness of $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$.

Finally the *I-bifunctional* is the translation invariant symmetric bifunctional defined by

$$I(\varphi,\psi) = \int (\varphi - \psi)\left(\mathrm{MA}(\psi) - \mathrm{MA}(\varphi)\right),$$

which is also non-negative by concavity of E. These functionals compare as follows (cf. for instance [BBGZ09, Lemma 2.2]):

$$n^{-1} J_\varphi(\psi) \le J_\psi(\varphi) \le I(\varphi,\psi) \le (n+1) J_\psi(\varphi) \tag{2.3}$$

When $\psi = 0$ we simply write $I(\varphi) := I(\varphi, 0)$,

2.3. **Measures of finite plurienergy.** The *plurienergy* of a probability measure μ is defined as

$$E^*(\mu) := \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \left(E(\varphi) - \int \varphi\, \mu\right) \in [0, +\infty]. \tag{2.4}$$

This defines a convex lsc function $E^* : \mathcal{M}(X) \to [0,+\infty]$, and a probability measure μ is said to have *finite plurienergy* if $E^*(\mu) < +\infty$. We denote the set of probability measures with finite plurienergy by

$$\mathcal{M}^1(X,\theta) = \{E^* < +\infty\}.$$

If μ is of the form $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ for a given $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ then we have

$$E^*\left(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)\right) = E(\varphi) - \int \varphi\, \mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = J_\varphi(0) = (I - J)(\varphi) \tag{2.5}$$

by concavity of E, and consequently the following Legendre duality relation hold:

$$E(\varphi) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)} \left(E^*(\mu) + \langle \varphi, \mu\rangle\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Conversely it was shown in [BBGZ09] that every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^1(X,\theta)$ is of the form $\mu = \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_\mu)$ for a unique normalized function $\varphi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$. Note that

$$n^{-1} E^*(\mu) \le J(\varphi_\mu) \le n E^*(\mu) \tag{2.7}$$

by (2.5) and (2.3).

2.4. **Convergence in energy.** In order to circumvent the discontinuity of the Monge-Ampère operator with respect to the weak topology of $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$, the following notion of convergence was introduced in [BBGZ09].

Definition 2.1. *Let $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ be a sequence of normalized θ-psh functions. We say that φ_j converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$* in energy *if $I(\varphi_j,\varphi) \to 0$.*

Convergence in energy implies in particular weak convergence (cf. Lemma 2.4 below). As an example, if φ_j converges either monotonically or uniformly to φ then $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy by the continuity properties of the Monge-Ampère operator. Convergence in energy is indeed well-adapted to the Monge-Ampère operator, as illustrated by the following result [BBGZ09, Lemma 3.12 and 5.8]:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$. If φ_j, φ are normalized θ-psh functions such that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy then*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int \psi \, \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) = \int \psi \, \mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int \varphi_j \, \mathrm{MA}(\psi) = \int \varphi \, \mathrm{MA}(\psi).$$

In each case the convergence is furthermore uniform with respect to ψ if the latter stays in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for some $C > 0$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let μ be a measure with finite plurienergy.*

- (i) *μ acts continuously on $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for each $C > 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy then $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \to \mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ in the weak topology of measures and $\int \varphi_j \, \mu \to \int \varphi \, \mu$, uniformly in terms of $E^*(\mu)$. In particular $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in capacity.*

Convergence in energy admits the following equivalent characterizations:

Lemma 2.4. *Let φ_j, φ be normalized θ-psh functions. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *φ_j converges to φ in energy.*
- (ii) *$\varphi_j \to \varphi$ weakly and $E(\varphi_j) \to E(\varphi)$.*
- (iii) *$\int (\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \to 0$.*
- (iv) *φ_j is a maximizing sequence in the supremum (2.4) defining $E^*(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi))$, i.e. we have*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \left(E(\varphi_j) - \int \varphi_j \mathrm{MA}(\varphi) \right) = E^*(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)).$$

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows easily from Theorem 2.2 and the following consequence of (2.3):

$$(n+1)^{-1} I(\varphi_j,\varphi) \le E(\varphi) - E(\varphi_j) + \int (\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = J_\varphi(\varphi_j) \le I(\varphi_j,\varphi).$$

To see (i)⇒(iii), apply Theorem 2.2 to the identity

$$I(\varphi_j,\varphi) = \int (\varphi_j - \varphi)\left(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) - \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)\right).$$

Assume conversely that (iii) holds. By concavity of E we have

$$0 \ge E(\varphi_j) \ge \int (\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) + E(\varphi)$$

thus $E(\varphi_j)$ is uniformly bounded. Since $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for each $C>0$ by Corollary 2.3, it follows that (iii) implies (i). Finally (2.5) shows that

$$E^*(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)) - \left(E(\varphi_j) - \int \varphi_j \mathrm{MA}(\varphi)\right) = J_\varphi(\varphi_j).$$

which implies the equivalence between (i) and (iv) thanks to (2.3) again. □

Remark 2.5. *In view of (ii) we can actually define a* topology of convergence in energy *on $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ as the coarsest refinement of the weak topology for which $E:\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)\to\mathbb{R}$ becomes continuous.*

Corollary 2.3 combined with [EGZ09, Proposition 2.16] yields the following result:

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ and assume that there exist $A,\varepsilon>0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \le A\,\mathrm{Cap}_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}$$

for all j. Then $\varphi_j\to\varphi$ in energy implies $\varphi_j\to\varphi$ uniformly.

2.5. **Exponential estimates.**

Definition 2.7. *A big and semipositive $(1,1)$-form θ will be said to satisfy condition (L) if all $\varphi\in\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ have identically zero Lelong numbers.*

By a result of Skoda [Sko72], this condition equivalently says that $e^{-\varphi}$ lies in L^p for all $p<+\infty$. Condition (L) is easily checked when θ is a Kähler form, see [GZ07]. We conjecture that condition (L) is in fact satisfied for *any* big semipositive form θ.

As a consequence of their generalized Moser-Trudinger inequalities, Berndtsson and the first named author proved in [BeBe11] that condition (L) holds under the assumption that θ is (up to a multiple) the curvature form of a smooth metric on a holomorphic line bundle. More precisely:

Theorem 2.8. [BeBe11] *Assume that the cohomology class of θ lies in the real Néron-Severi space $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)\subset H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$, i.e. the space of cohomology classes of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. Then condition (L) holds.*

By Hölder's inequality and [Sko72], condition (L) implies that $e^{-\varphi}\in L^p(\mu)$ for all $p<+\infty$ and all measures μ with L^q density with respect to Lebesgue measure for some $q>1$. Thanks to [DNS10], this exponential integrability property is actually valid in much greater generality. In order to state the result we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2.9. *A positive measure μ on X will be said to have* local Hölder potentials *if it is locally equal to the Monge-Ampère measure of a Hölder continous psh function.*

It follows from [Koł09] (resp. [Hiep10]) that every measure with L^p-density for some $p>1$ with respect to either Lebesgue measure on X or Lebesgue measure on a smooth real hypersurface has local Hölder potentials. We now have:

Theorem 2.10. [DNS10] *Let μ be a positive measure on X with local Hölder potentials. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that the following holds. For each compact set $\mathcal{K}\subset\mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ such that $\nu(\varphi,x)<\delta$ for all $x\in X$ and all $\varphi\in\mathcal{K}$ we have $\sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{K}}\int e^{-\varphi}d\mu<+\infty$.*

This result actually follows from the proof of [DNS10, Theorem 1.1], injecting Skoda's theorem as step 0 of their inductive argument, which yields the result with $\delta := 2(\alpha/3)^n$ if α is a Hölder exponent for potentials of μ. It is easy to refine their argument to get $\delta = 2\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\right)^n$.

Following [Ber10], we introduce a uniform integrability exponent, or α-invariant, generalizing the classical one of [Tia87, TY87] as follows:

Definition 2.11. *Given a measure μ with local Hölder potentials, the* α-invariant *of* $\mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ *with respect to μ is defined as*

$$\alpha(\theta,\mu) := \sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0, \sup_{\varphi}\int e^{-\alpha\varphi}d\mu < +\infty\right\},$$

where the inner sup is extended over all normalized *θ-psh functions φ.*

Note that $\alpha(\theta,\mu) > 0$ by Theorem 2.10, which also implies:

Corollary 2.12. *Let μ be a positive measure on X with local Hölder potentials, and assume that θ satisfies condition (L). For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ we then have $e^{-\varphi} \in L^p(\mu)$ for all $p < \infty$. Furthermore given $C > 0$ and $p < +\infty$ both the identity map and the map $\varphi \mapsto e^{-\varphi}$ define continuous maps $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta) \to L^p(\mu)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ be a convergent sequence in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for some C. By [BT87] we have $\varphi(x) = \limsup_j \varphi_j(x)$ holds for all x outside a pluripolar set, hence μ-a.e. since Monge-Ampére measures of bounded functions put no mass on pluripolar sets. By the Brezis-Lieb lemma, showing $\int |\varphi_j|^p\mu \to \int |\varphi|^p\mu$ and $\int e^{-p\varphi_j}\mu \to \int e^{-p\varphi}\mu$ will thus imply the corresponding convergence in $L^p(\mu)$. Now $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ is compact, and Theorem 2.10 shows that both $f_j := |\varphi_j|^p$ and $g_j := e^{-p\varphi_j}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mu)$. We thus get the desired result arguing exactly as in the proof of (iii)⇒(i) of [BBGZ09, Theorem 3.9]. □

We conclude this section with the following technical fact, which is implicit in [Hiep10].

Lemma 2.13. *Let μ be a measure with local Hölder potentials. Then for each $p > 0$ there exists $A_p > 0$ such that $\mu \leq A_p \operatorname{Cap}_\theta^p$.*

Proof. We provide a proof for the convenience of the reader, following [Zer01]. Let K be a compact and non-pluripolar subset, and introduce the extremal function V_K, defined as the upper envelope of the family of θ-psh functions φ such that $\varphi \leq 0$ on K. Its usc regularization V_K^* is then θ-psh and satisfies $V_K^* \leq 0$ on K except possibly outside a pluripolar set. In particular we have $V_K^* \leq 0$ μ-a.e. on K. If we set

$$M_\theta(K) := \sup_X V_K^*$$

then we have the following version of the Alexander-Taylor comparison theorem (see for instance [BEGZ10, Lemma 4.2])

$$\operatorname{Cap}_\theta(K)^{-1/n} \leq \max\{1, M_\theta(K)\}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Theorem 2.10 there exists $\varepsilon, C > 0$ such that $\int e^{-\varepsilon\varphi}d\mu \leq C$ for all normalized θ-psh functions φ. Applying this to $\varphi := V_K^* - M_\theta(K)$ yields $\int e^{-\varepsilon V_K^*}d\mu \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon M_\theta(K)}$, hence $\mu(K) \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon M_\theta(K)}$ since $V_K^* \leq 0$ μ-a.e. on K. From there the result easily follows using (2.8). □

3. Measures of finite entropy

3.1. **The Hölder-Young inequality.** This section provides a brief recap on the Hölder-Young inequality.

Definition 3.1. *A* weight *is a convex non-decreasing lower semicontinuous function $\chi : [0,+\infty] \to [0,+\infty]$ such that $\chi^{-1}\{0\} = \{0\}$ and $\chi(+\infty) = +\infty$. Its* conjugate weight *$\chi^* : [0,+\infty] \to [0,+\infty]$ is the Legendre transform of $\chi(|\cdot|)$, i.e.*

$$\chi^*(t) := \sup_{s\geq 0}\left(st - \chi(s)\right).$$

By Legendre duality with have $\chi^{**} = \chi$. Apart from the well-known case of the conjugate weights s^p/p and t^q/q with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, the main example for us will be:

Example 3.2. *The congugate weight of $\chi(s) := (s+1)\log(s+1) - s$ is*

$$\chi^*(t) = e^t - t - 1.$$

Definition 3.3. *Let (X, μ) be a measured space and let χ be a weight. The* Orlicz space *$L^\chi(\mu)$ is defined as the set of all measurable functions f on X such that $\int \chi(\varepsilon|f|)\, d\mu < +\infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Observe that $f \in L^\chi(\mu)$ iff εf belongs to the convex symmetric set

$$B := \left\{ g \in L^\chi(\mu), \int \chi(|g|)\, d\mu \leq 1 \right\}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. The *Luxembourg norm* on $L^\chi(\mu)$ is then defined as the gauge of B, i.e. one sets for $f \in L^\chi(\mu)$

$$\|f\|_{L^\chi(\mu)} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0, \int \chi\left(\alpha^{-1}|f|\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It turns $L^\chi(\mu)$ into a Banach space.

Proposition 3.4. *[Hölder-Young inequality] For any two measurable functions $f \in L^\chi(\mu)$ and $g \in L^{\chi^*}(\mu)$ we have*

$$\int |fg|\, d\mu \leq 2\|f\|_{L^\chi(\mu)}\|g\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)}.$$

We recall the straightforward proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. We may assume that the right-hand side is non-zero. By homogeneity we may assume that $\|f\|_{L^\chi(\mu)} = \|g\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)} = 1$, hence $\int \chi(|f|)\, d\mu \leq 1$ and $\int \chi^*(|g|)\, d\mu \leq 1$. We have $|fg| \leq \chi(|f|) + \chi^*(|g|)$ pointwise on X by definition of χ^*, hence $\int |fg|\, d\mu \leq 2$ after integrating, and the result follows. ☐

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\nu = f\,\mu$ be a positive measure that is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and let χ be a weight function such that $\int \chi(f)\, d\mu \leq A$ for some $1 \leq A < +\infty$. Then we have*

$$\|g\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq 2A\|g\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)}$$

for every measurable function g.

Proof. The assumption amounts to $\|f\|_{L^{A^{-1}\chi}(\mu)} \leq 1$, and the weight $\tau_A(t) := A^{-1}\chi^*(At)$ is conjugate to $A^{-1}\chi$. On the other hand it follows from the definition that

$$\|g\|_{L^{\tau_A}(\mu)} = A\|g\|_{L^{A^{-1}\chi^*}(\mu)} \leq A\|g\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)}$$

since $A \geq 1$, and the result follows from the Hölder-Young inequality. □

3.2. **Relative entropy.** Let X be a compact topological space.

Definition 3.6. *Let μ, ν be probability measures on X. The* relative entropy $H_\mu(\nu) \in [0, +\infty]$ *of ν with respect to μ is defined as follows. If ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $f := \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ satisfies $f \log f \in L^1(\mu)$ then*

$$H_\mu(\nu) := \int f \log f \, d\mu = \int \log\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) d\nu.$$

Otherwise one sets $H_\mu(\nu) = +\infty$.

We will use the following basic properties of the relative entropy.

Proposition 3.7. *Let μ, ν be probability measures on X.*

(i) *We have*

$$H_\mu(\nu) = \sup_{g \in C^0(X)} \left(\int g \, d\nu - \log \int e^g d\mu \right).$$

(ii) $H_\mu(\nu) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\mu - \nu\|^2$. *In particular $H_\mu(\nu) = 0$ iff $\nu = \mu$.*

Part (i) says that H_μ is the *Legendre transform* of the convex functional $g \mapsto \log \int e^g d\mu$. In particular it is convex and lower semi-continuous on $\mathcal{M}(X)$. We refer to [DZ, Lemma 6.2.13] for a proof.

The norm in (ii) denotes the total variation of $\mu - \nu$, i.e. its operator norm as an element of $C^0(X)^*$. The inequality in (ii) is known as *Pinsker's inequality*, see [DZ, Exercise 6.2.17] for a proof. For later use we note:

Lemma 3.8. *For each lower semi-continuous function g on X we have*

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)} \left(\int g \, d\nu - H_\mu(\nu) \right) = \log \int e^g d\mu.$$

Proof. When g is continuous this follows from Legendre duality (i.e. the Hahn-Banach theorem). Assume now that g is an arbitrary lsc function. The inequality

$$\int g \, d\nu \leq \log \int e^g d\mu + H_\mu(\nu)$$

is a direct consequence of Jensen's inequality. Conversely since g is lsc there exists an increasing sequence of continuous functions $g_j \leq g$ increasing pointwise to g. By the continuous case we get for each j

$$\log \int e^{g_j} d\mu = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)} \left(\int g_j \, d\nu - H_\mu(\nu) \right) \leq \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)} \left(\int g \, d\nu - H_\mu(\nu) \right)$$

and the result follows by monotone convergence. □

3.3. **Entropy vs plurienergy.** We come back to our original setting, so that X now denotes a compact Kähler manifold endowed with a big semipositive $(1,1)$-form θ. We start by showing that the entropy controls the plurienergy E^*.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that μ is a probability measure on X with local Hölder potentials.*

(i) *For each $0 < \alpha < \alpha(\theta,\mu)$ there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$H_\mu(\nu) \geq \alpha\, E^*(\nu) - C.$$

for all probability measures ν on X.

(ii) *If $\alpha(\theta,\mu) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ then there exists $\varepsilon, C > 0$ such that*

$$H_\mu(\nu) \geq (1+\varepsilon)E^*(\nu) - C$$

for all ν.

Proof. By definition of $\alpha(\theta,\mu)$, given $\alpha < \alpha(\theta,\mu)$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\log \int e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mu \leq -\alpha \sup_X \varphi + C$$

for all θ-psh functions φ. Since $\mathrm{MA}(0)$ is a probability measure it follows that

$$-\log \int e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mu \geq \alpha \int \varphi\, \mathrm{MA}(0) - C \geq \alpha E(\varphi) - C.$$

By Lemma 3.8 this implies

$$H_\mu(\nu) \geq \alpha \sup_\varphi \left(\int \varphi\, \mathrm{MA}(0) - \int \varphi\, \nu \right) - C \geq \alpha E^*(\nu) - C, \tag{3.1}$$

which already proves (i). In order to prove (ii) we may assume that $H_\mu(\nu)$ is finite. By (i) it follows that $E^*(\nu)$ is finite as well, hence $\nu = \mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ by [BBGZ09]. By the first inequality in (3.1) we then obtain $H_\mu(\nu) \geq \alpha\, I(\varphi) - C$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu(\nu) - E^*(\nu) &\geq (\alpha - 1)I(\varphi) + J(\varphi) - C \\ &\geq \left(\alpha - 1 + (n+1)^{-1}\right) I(\varphi) - C = \left(\alpha - n(n+1)^{-1}\right) I(\varphi) - C \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). (ii) follows since $I(\varphi) \geq I(\varphi) - J(\varphi) = E^*(\nu)$. □

The following technical result is crucial to our approach.

Theorem 3.10. *Assume that condition (L) of Definition 2.7 is satisfied. Let μ be a probability measure with local Hölder potentials and let $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ be a convergent sequence in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for some $C > 0$. For each probability measure ν such that $H_\mu(\nu) < +\infty$ we then have $\int \varphi_j\, \nu \to \int \varphi\, \nu$, uniformly in terms of $H_\mu(\nu)$.*

Proof. Introduce as in Example 3.2 the weight $\chi(s) := (s+1)\log(s+1) - s$, whose conjugate function is $\chi^*(t) = e^t - t - 1$. We have $\chi(s) \leq s \log s + O(1)$ on $[0,+\infty[$, hence $\int \chi\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) d\mu \leq A$ for some $A \geq 1$ only depending on

$$H_\mu(\nu) = \int \left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) \log\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) d\mu.$$

Now let $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ be a (weakly) convergent sequence in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$. By Corollary 3.5 we have

$$\|\varphi_j - \varphi\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq 2A \|\varphi_j - \varphi\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)}$$

Since $\chi^*(t) \le te^t$, we are thus reduced to showing, by definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\chi^*}(\mu)}$, that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int |\varphi_j - \varphi| \exp(\alpha|\varphi_j - \varphi|)\, d\mu = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for each $\alpha > 0$. But by Corollary 2.12 there exists $B > 0$ only depending on C and α such that $\int e^{-2\alpha\varphi} d\mu$ and $\int e^{-2\alpha\varphi_j} d\mu$ are both bounded by B. Since φ_j and φ are bounded above by C it follows that

$$\int \exp(2\alpha|\varphi_j - \varphi|)\, d\mu \le B'$$

for some other constant $B' > 0$ independent of j. By Hölder's inequality we infer

$$\int |\varphi_j - \varphi| \exp(\alpha|\varphi_j - \varphi|)\, d\mu \le B'^{1/2} \|\varphi_j - \varphi\|_{L^2}(\mu),$$

and (3.2) now follows since $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\mu)$ by Corollary 2.12. □

Corollary 3.11. *Assume that condition (L) of Definition 2.7 is satisfied and let* μ *be a probability measure with local Hölder potentials. If* $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *is a sequence of normalized* θ*-psh functions with finite energy, and if* $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)$ *has uniformly bounded entropy with respect to* μ*, then a subsequence of* (φ_j) *converges in energy.*

Proof. Since the set of normalized θ-psh functions is compact, we may assume after perhaps passing to a subsequence that φ_j converges to some φ in $\mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$. By Proposition 3.7 and (2.7) there exists $A > 0$ such that $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1_A(X,\theta)$ for all j. Theorem 3.10 guarantees that the measures $\nu_j = \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)$ are equicontinuous on $\mathcal{E}^1_A(X,\theta)$, which implies in particular that $\int(\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \to 0$. By Lemma 2.4 it follows that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy. □

Similarly, we get the following stability result for measures with bounded entropy.

Corollary 3.12. *Let* μ *be a probability measure with local Hölder potentials and assume that condition (L) is satisfied. For each* $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^1(X,\theta)$ *let* $\varphi_\nu \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *be the unique normalized solution of* $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_\nu) = \nu$*. Then* $\nu \mapsto \varphi_\nu$ *is continuous on* $\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X),\, H_\mu(\nu) \le C\}$ *for each* $C > 0$*.*

Proof. Let $\nu_j \to \nu$ be a (weakly) convergent sequence of probability measures such that $H_\mu(\nu_j) \le C$ and set $\varphi_j := \varphi_{\nu_j}$. There exists as above $A > 0$ such that $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1_A(X,\theta)$ for all j. Upon passing to a subsequence we may thus assume that φ_j converges to some $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_A(X,\theta)$, and we are to show that $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \nu$. But Theorem 3.10 guarantees that the measures $\nu_j = \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)$ are equicontinuous on $\mathcal{E}^1_A(X,\theta)$, which implies in particular that $\int(\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \to 0$. By Lemma 2.4 it follows that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy, so that $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) = \nu_j$ converges to $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)$ by Theorem 2.2, and we have shown as desired that $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \nu$. □

4. Monge-Ampère mean field equations

In this section (X,θ) denotes a compact Kähler manifold X endowed with a big semipositive closed $(1,1)$-form θ. We also assume from now on that *condition (L) of Definition 2.7 is satisfied.* We recall that this is at least the case when θ is a Kähler form, or when θ is cohomologous to an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Finally we let μ be a probability measure on X with local Hölder potentials.

4.1. **Monge-Ampère mean field equations.** Following the terminology introduced in [Ber10], the *Monge-Ampère mean field equation* attached to μ and (X, θ) is the equation

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = e^{-\varphi+c}\mu \tag{4.1}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a normalizing constant. In order to make sense of this equation, recall that for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ the measure $e^{-\varphi}\mu$ has finite mass by Corollary 2.12. We may thus introduce the corresponding probability measure

$$\mu_\varphi := \frac{e^{-\varphi}\mu}{\int e^{-\varphi}\mu},$$

so that (4.1) rewrites as $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \mu_\varphi$. Since this equation contains as a special case the equation for Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, it will not necessarily admit a solution in general.

4.2. **Regularity of solutions.** The following result summarizes the regularity properties of solutions to the Monge-Ampère mean field equation.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ is a solution of (4.1) then the following hold.*

(i) *φ belongs to $C^0(X)$.*

(ii) *If $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-} dV$ with $\psi^\pm$ quasi-psh and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. then φ is C^∞ on any open set U of $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$ on which $\psi^\pm$ are C^∞.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.13 we have $\mu \lesssim \mathrm{Cap}_\theta^p$ for each $p > 0$. On the other hand we have $e^{-\varphi} \in L^q(\mu)$ for all $q < \infty$ by Corollary 2.12. By Hölder's inequality it follows that μ_φ also satisfies $\mu_\varphi \lesssim \mathrm{Cap}_\theta^p$ for all $p > 0$, and in particular for $p = 2$, say. Continuity of φ now follows by Theorem 1.2.

(ii) is a special case of Corollary 1.8. □

4.3. **The Ding and Mabuchi functionals.** Following [BBGZ09, Ber10], we introduce the relevant generalization of the usual functionals in the context of Fano manifolds.

Definition 4.2. *The* Ding functional Din_μ *and the* Mabuchi functional Mab_μ *are respectively defined by setting for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$*

$$\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi) := -E(\varphi) - \log \int e^{-\varphi} d\mu \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) := (H_\mu - E^*)\,(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)) \in]-\infty, +\infty]. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.3. *The Monge-Ampère mean field equation (4.1) is, at least formally, the Euler-Lagrange equation of* Din_μ. *In the case of Fano manifolds, this functional seems to have been first explicitely considered by W.Y. Ding in* [Ding88, p.465], *hence the chosen terminology.*

Remark 4.4. *By (2.5) we have*

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) = \int \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)}{\mu}\right) \mathrm{MA}(\varphi) + J(\varphi) - I(\varphi),$$

which coincides with the usual Mabuchi 'K-energy' functional on a Fano manifold thanks to X. X. Chen's formula [Che00].

Lemma 4.5. *The Ding and Mabuchi functionals compare as follows:*

(i) *For each* $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) - \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi) = H_{\mu_\varphi}(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)) \geq 0$$

with equality iff $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \mu_\varphi$.

(ii) *We have*

$$\inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Mab}_\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Din}_\mu \in [-\infty, +\infty[.$$

Proof. The first identity is just a matter of unravelling the definitions:

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) - \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi) = \int \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)}{\mu}\right)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) + \int \varphi\,\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) + \log\int e^{-\varphi}d\mu$$

$$= \int \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)}{\mu_\varphi}\right)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = H_{\mu_\varphi}(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi)).$$

The equality case is dealt with by Proposition 3.7.

Part (ii) is proved in [Ber10, Theorem 3.4] (see also [Li08]). We reproduce the short argument for the convenience of the reader. Set

$$I := \inf_{\mathcal{M}^1(X,\theta)} (H_\mu - E^*) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi).$$

By (i) it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi) \geq I$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$. By Proposition 3.9 any probability measure with $H_\mu(\nu) < +\infty$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}^1(X,\theta)$, so the inequality $H_\mu(\nu) \geq E^*(\nu) + I$ is actually valid for all probability measures ν on X. Using Lemma 3.8 and (2.6) we thus get

$$-\log\int e^{-\varphi}d\mu = \inf_\nu \left(H_\mu(\nu) + \int \varphi\,\nu\right) \geq E(\varphi) + I,$$

which concludes the proof. □

4.4. **Variational principles for mean field equations.** We first observe that the variational approach of [BBGZ09] applies directly to get:

Theorem 4.6. *If* $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *satisfies the equivalent conditions*

$$\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Din}_\mu,$$

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Mab}_\mu$$

then $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \mu_\varphi$.

Proof. The equivalence of the two conditions follows from Lemma 4.5. Up to the different sign convention, the last assertion is proved exactly as in [BBGZ09, Theorem 6.6] by relying on the differentiability theorem of [BeBo10]. □

We now consider the converse problem, i.e. whether any solution φ of the mean field equation is automatically a minimizer of Din_μ. In the setting of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a Fano manifold this was shown to hold by Ding and Tian (cf. [Tia97]), at least when $H^0(T_X) = 0$. Their result was generalized to singular Kähler-Einstein metrics in [BBGZ09] (with no assumption on holomorphic vector fields) as a consequence of Berndtsson's theorem on psh variations of Bergman kernels. We now consider a more general situation, which will in particular apply to Kähler-Einstein metrics on log-Fano varieties.

Definition 4.7. *Given a Zariski open subset Ω of $\operatorname{Amp}(\theta)$ we introduce the following two conditions on a measure μ.*

- (R) *Ricci positivity: the restriction of μ to Ω is a smooth positive volume form such that $\operatorname{Ric}(\mu|_\Omega) \geq \theta$ on Ω.*
- (B) *Bergman space triviality: $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\mu) = \mathbb{C}$.*

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that μ satisfies conditions (R) and (B) and suppose given $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ such that $\operatorname{MA}(\varphi) = \mu_\varphi$. Then φ satisfies the equivalent conditions*

$$\operatorname{Din}_\mu(\varphi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \operatorname{Din}_\mu,$$

$$\operatorname{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \operatorname{Mab}_\mu .$$

In particular, Din_μ and Mab_μ are both bounded below.

The key to this result is the following variant of Berndtsson's theorem on positivity of direct images.

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that μ satisfies conditions (R) and (B). Let φ be a θ-psh function on $S \times X$, where S is a complex manifold, and set $\varphi_s := \varphi(s, \cdot)$, viewed as a θ-psh function on X (or $\varphi_s \equiv -\infty$). Then $s \mapsto -\log \int e^{-\varphi_s}\mu$ is psh on S.*

Proof. Since Ω is contained in $\operatorname{Amp}(\theta)$ we may find a θ-psh function ψ on X which is smooth on Ω and such that $\theta + dd^c\psi \geq \omega$ for some Kähler form ω on X. Upon replacing φ with $(1-2\delta)\varphi + 2\delta\,\psi$ and then letting $\delta \to 0_+$ we are thus reduced to the case where $\theta + dd^c\varphi \geq 2\delta\,\omega$ on $S \times X$ for some $\delta > 0$.

On the other hand, since the result is local on S, we may assume that S admits a smooth strictly psh function ψ_S. We now apply Demailly's regularization theorem to φ. Since $\theta + dd^c\varphi \geq 2\delta\,\omega$ and since $\omega + dd^c\psi_S$ is a Kähler form on $S \times X$, it follows from [Dem92] that there exists a decreasing sequence (φ^j) of smooth functions on $S \times X$ (after perhaps slightly shrinking S) such that $\varphi^j \to \varphi$ pointwise and

$$dd^c\varphi^j + \theta \geq \delta\,\omega - \varepsilon_j\, dd^c\psi_S \tag{4.4}$$

on $S \times X$, with $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

For each $j \gg 1$ and $s \in S$ let h_s^j be the smooth Hermitian metric on $L := -K_\Omega$ corresponding to the (smooth positive) volume form $e^{-\varphi_s^j - \varepsilon_j\psi_S}\mu$ on Ω. On the one hand, the curvature of (L, h_s^j) satisfies

$$\Theta_{h_s^j}(L) = \operatorname{Ric}(\mu) + dd^c\varphi_s^j + \varepsilon_j\, dd^c\psi_S \geq \delta\,\omega$$

for $j \gg 1$, as follows from condition (R) combined with (4.4). On the other hand, condition (B) shows that the Bergman kernel for L-valued $(n, 0)$-forms on Ω with respect to h_s^j coincides with the constant function

$$\left(\int_\Omega e^{-\varphi_s^j - \varepsilon_j\,\psi_S}\mu\right)^{-1}.$$

In particular, this Bergman kernel is smooth on $S \times \Omega$.

Since Hörmander's L^2-estimates for L-valued (n, q)-forms apply for the positively curved line bundle (L, h_s^j) on the weakly pseudoconvex manifold Ω (cf. for instance [Dem2]), we may then argue exactly as in [Bern06, pp.1638-1640] to get that

$$s \mapsto -\log \int_\Omega e^{-\varphi_s^j - \varepsilon_j \psi_S} \mu$$

is psh on S. The desired result now follows by letting $j \to \infty$. □

We will need the following result on "bounded geodesics"; we refer the reader to [Bern11, §2.2] for a proof:

Lemma 4.10. *Let φ_0, φ_1 be two continuous θ-psh functions and let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the open strip $0 < t := \Re s < 1$. Let φ be the usc upper envelope on $S \times X$ of the family of all continuous θ-psh functions ψ on $\overline{S} \times X$ such that $\psi \le \varphi_0$ for $t = 0$ and $\psi \le \varphi_1$ for $t = 1$. Then we have:*

- (i) *φ is θ-psh and bounded on $S \times X$.*
- (ii) *$(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^{n+1} = 0$ on $S \times X$.*
- (iii) *$\varphi_t := \varphi(t, \cdot)$ converges uniformly to φ_0 (resp. φ_1) as $t \to 0$ (resp. $t \to 1$).*

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ such that $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_0) = \mu_{\varphi_0}$, so that φ_0 is continuous by Lemma 5.1. Given $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ we are to show that $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_1) \ge \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_0)$. By [EGZ11] any θ-psh function on X is the decreasing limit of a sequence of continous θ-psh functions, and we may thus assume that φ_1 is also continuous, by continuity of Din_μ along monotonic sequences. Let then φ be the θ-psh function on $S \times X$ provided by Lemma 4.10. On the one hand $-\log \int e^{-\varphi_t}\mu$ is a concave function of $t \in]0, 1[$ by Lemma 4.9. On the other hand, since $(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^{n+1} = 0$ on $S \times X$, it follows for instance from [BBGZ09, Proposition 6.2] that $E(\varphi_t)$ is an affine function of t, so that $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ is a convex function of $t \in]0, 1[$. By (iii) of Lemma 4.10 we also have $\lim_{t \to 0_+} \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t) = \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_0)$ and similarly for $t \to 1_-$. In order to get $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_1) \ge \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_0)$ it is thus enough to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0_+} \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t) \ge 0.$$

which is now proved exactly as in [BBGZ09, Theorem 6.6]. □

4.5. **Properness and coercivity.**

Definition 4.11. *The Mabuchi functional* Mab_μ *is said to be* proper *if* $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu \to +\infty$ *as* $J \to +\infty$*, and* coercive *if there exists* $\varepsilon, C > 0$ *such that* $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu \ge \varepsilon J - C$.

Extending the Tian's well-known criterion [Tia87] we have:

Lemma 4.12. *If $\alpha(\theta, \mu) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ then* Mab_μ *is coercive.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.9 and the comparison (2.7) between E^* and J. □

The next result encapsulates the main consequences of the properness of the Mabuchi functional to be used in what follows.

Theorem 4.13. *Assume that* Mab_μ *is proper. Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) *Every weakly converging sequence $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ of normalized θ-psh functions such that $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_j)$ is uniformly bounded above automatically converges in energy.*
- (ii) *For each $m \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{\mathrm{Mab}_\mu \le m\}$ is compact in the set of normalized θ-psh functions.*

(iii) Mab_μ *achieves its infimum at some* $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$*, which satisfies the Monge-Ampère mean field equation (4.1).*

Proof. Let φ_j be a sequence of normalized θ-psh functions in $\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ such that $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_j)$ is bounded. By properness of Mab_μ it follows that $J(\varphi_j)$ is bounded, so that there exists $C>0$ such that $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for all j. Since $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu = (H_\mu - E^*)\circ \mathrm{MA}$, the measures $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)$ also have uniformly bounded entropy (relative to μ), so that they act in an equicontinuous way on $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ by Theorem 3.10. If φ_j converges to $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ then we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$, hence $\int(\varphi_j - \varphi)\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j) \to 0$ by equicontinuity, which proves (i) by Lemma 2.4.

In order to prove (ii) note that $\{\mathrm{Mab}_\mu \le m\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ for some $C>0$ by properness. It is thus enough to show that Mab_μ is lsc on $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$. If $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ is a convergent sequence in $\mathcal{E}^1_C(X,\theta)$ then $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in energy by (i), hence $E^*(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_j)) = I(\varphi_j) - J(\varphi_j)$ converges to $E^*(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi))$. Since H_μ is lower semicontinuous it follows as desired that

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) \le \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_j).$$

Finally (iii) follows from (ii) and Theorem 4.6. □

5. 'Ricci iteration' for Monge-Ampère mean field equations

We study in this section a version of *Ricci iteration* for Monge-Ampère mean field equations. Ricci iteration was first introduced and studied in the context of Kähler-Einstein metrics by Keller and Rubinstein independently in [Kel09, Rub08]. The standing assumptions on θ and μ are the same as in Section 4.

5.1. Uniform convergence.

Using [EGZ09, EGZ11] we get as in the proof of Theorem 4.1:

Lemma 5.1. *For each* $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *there exists a unique continuous normalized* θ*-psh function* $R_\mu(\varphi)$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{MA}\left(R_\mu(\varphi)\right) = \mu_\varphi.$$

By definition we thus have $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi) = \mu_\varphi$ iff $R_\mu(\varphi) = \varphi$. Given an initial data $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ we may thus consider the orbit $\varphi_j := R^j_\mu(\varphi_0)$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_{j+1}) = \mu_{\varphi_j}$$

for all $j \ge 0$. Our goal is to establish the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that the Mabuchi functional* Mab_μ *is proper, so that the mean field equation (4.1) admits a normalized solution* $\varphi_{\mathrm{MF}} \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$*. If this solution is furthermore unique then the orbit* $R^j_\mu(\varphi_0)$ *converges uniformly to* φ_{MF} *as* $j \to \infty$*.*

As in [Rub08, Kel09] we first observe that Mab_μ can only decrease under Ricci iteration.

Lemma 5.3. *For each normalized* $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu\left(R_\mu(\varphi)\right) \le \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi),$$

with equality iff $R_\mu(\varphi) = \varphi$*.*

Proof. We have by definition

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(R_\mu(\varphi)) = (H_\mu - E^*)\left((\mathrm{MA}(R_\mu(\varphi))\right) = H_\mu(\mu_\varphi) - E^*(\mu_\varphi).$$

Now (2.4) implies in particular that $E^*(\mu_\varphi) \geq E(\varphi) - \int \varphi\,\mu_\varphi$, whereas we have

$$H_\mu(\mu_\varphi) = -\int \varphi\,\mu_\varphi - \log \int e^{-\varphi} d\mu$$

by definition. As a consequence we get

$$\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(R_\mu(\varphi)) \leq -E(\varphi) - \log \int e^{-\varphi} d\mu = \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi)$$

and the result follows thanks to Lemma 4.5. □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}_C \subset \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ be the set of normalized θ-psh functions φ such that $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi) \leq C$. Since Mab_μ is assumed to be proper, Theorem 4.13 implies that Mab_μ is lsc, $\mathcal{M}_C$ is compact, and every convergent sequence in $\mathcal{M}_C$ converges in energy, for each $C > 0$.

By Lemma 5.3 $\mathcal{M}_C$ is also stable under the action of R_μ. We claim that R_μ is furthermore continuous on $\mathcal{M}_C$. Indeed let $\psi_j \to \psi$ be a convergent sequence in $\mathcal{M}_C$ such that $R_\mu\psi_j \to \tau$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{M}_C$. We have to show that $\tau = R_\mu(\psi)$, i.e. $\mathrm{MA}(\tau) = \mu_\psi$. Since $R_\mu\psi_j$ converges to τ in energy we have $\mathrm{MA}(R_\mu\psi_j) \to \mathrm{MA}(\tau)$ by Corollary 2.3. On the other hand we have $\mu_{\psi_j} \to \mu_\psi$ by Corollary 2.12, hence the result.

We are now in a position to show that $\varphi_j = R_\mu^j\varphi_0$ converges weakly (hence also in energy) to φ_{MF} by using a Lyapunov-type argument. The sequence $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_j)$ is non-increasing by Lemma 5.3, hence it admits a limit m_∞ as $j \to \infty$, which is finite by properness of Mab_μ. Since Mab_μ is lsc it follows that $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_\infty) = m_\infty$ for every limit point φ_∞ of the sequence (φ_j). By continuity of R_μ on $\mathcal{M}_C$ $R_\mu\varphi_\infty$ is also a limit point of (φ_j), hence $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(R_\mu\varphi_\infty) = m_\infty = \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_\infty)$. By Lemma 5.3 it follows that φ_∞ is a normalized solution to the mean field equation, so that $\varphi_\infty = \varphi_{\mathrm{MF}}$ by assumption, and we have thus shown the weak convergence $\varphi_j \to \varphi_{\mathrm{MF}}$.

There remains to show that the convergence is in fact uniform over X. Note first that φ_{MF} is continuous by Theorem 4.1. Similarly φ_j is continuous for each $j \geq 1$. By Proposition 2.6 it is thus enough to show the existence of $A > 0$ such that $\mu_{\varphi_j} \leq A\,\mathrm{Cap}_\theta^2$ for all j. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we are reduced to showing the existence of $C > 0$ such that $\int e^{-2\varphi_j} d\mu \leq C$. But this is a consequence of Corollary 2.12 since $E(\varphi_j)$ is bounded. □

Remark 5.4. *When θ is a Kähler form it follows from the uniform version of* [Hiep10] *that $\varphi_j \in C^\alpha(X)$ for some uniform $0 < \alpha < 1$, and the convergence $\varphi_j \to \varphi_\infty$ therefore holds in $C^\beta(X)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$.*

5.2. Higher order convergence.

In what follows we fix a reference Kähler form ω with volume form $dV = \omega^n$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let μ be a probability measure of the form $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-} dV$ with $\psi^\pm$ quasi-psh and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. Assume as above that the corresponding Mabuchi functional* Mab_μ *is proper and let $\varphi_j = R_\mu^j(\varphi_0)$ be the orbit of a given $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$. Then $\Delta\varphi_j = O(e^{-\psi^-})$ uniformly on compact subsets of* $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$.

If we furthermore assume that φ_0 and $\psi_\pm$ are smooth on a given open subset $U \subset$ $\mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$ *then (φ_j) is bounded in $C^\infty(U)$.*

Proof. We have for each $j \geq 0$

$$\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_{j+1}) = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^- - \varphi_j + c_j}\omega^n \tag{5.1}$$

where ψ^+ and $\psi^- + \varphi_j$ are $C\omega$-psh for some $C > 0$ and $c_j = \log \int e^{-\varphi_j}\mu$. By the proof of Theorem 5.2, c_j and $\|\varphi_j\|_{C^0}$ are both uniformly bounded, and the first assertion is thus a consequence of Theorem 1.5.

The last assertion is now an application of the Evans-Krylov theorem. More precisely, the bound $|\Delta\varphi_j| \leq C$ on compact subsets of U shows in particular that the local Lipschitz constants of $\psi^+ - \psi^- + \varphi_j + c_j$ are locally uniformly bounded on compact subsets of U. We may thus apply the explicit version of the Evans-Krylov *a priori* estimates given in [Bło, Theorem 4.5.1] to get a bound on the $C^{2+\alpha}$-norm of φ_j on compact subsets of U. This implies that φ_j is smooth and uniformly bounded in $C^\infty(U)$ by applying the standard elliptic boot-strapping argument to (5.1). □

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-}dV$ with $\psi^\pm$ quasi-psh and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. Assume that $\psi_\pm \in C^\infty(U)$ for a given open set $U \subset \mathrm{Amp}\,(\theta)$. Assume also that Mab_μ is proper and that the Monge-Ampère mean field equation admits a unique normalized solution φ_{MF}. Then $R_\mu^j\varphi_0 \to \varphi_\infty$ in $C^\infty(U)$ for any $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \theta)$ that is smooth on U.*

6. Convergence of the Monge-Ampère flow

In what follows the big semipositive form θ is still assumed to satisfy condition (L) of Definition 2.7

6.1. **Song and Tian's theorem.**

The following result is proved in [ST09][1].

Theorem 6.1. [ST09] *Let μ be a measure of the form $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-}dV$, where $\psi^\pm$ are quasi-psh functions with* analytic singularities *and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$. Let φ_0 be a continuous θ-psh function. Then there exists a unique smooth function φ on $]0, +\infty[\times\Omega$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (i) *Tor each $t > 0$ $\varphi_t := \varphi(t, \cdot)$ extends to a bounded θ-psh function on X.*
- (ii) *The parabolic Monge-Ampère equation*

$$\dot{\varphi}_t = \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t)}{\mu_{\varphi_t}}\right)$$

is satisfied on $]0, +\infty[\times\Omega$.

- (iii) $\lim_{t\to 0}\varphi_t = \varphi_0$ *uniformly on compact subsets of Ω.*

Remark 6.2. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let*

$$\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon := v_\varepsilon^{-1}(\theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c)^n$$

denote the Monge-Ampère operator associated to the Kähler form $\theta + \varepsilon\omega$, normalized to mass one, so that

$$v_\varepsilon := \int (\theta + \varepsilon\omega)^n.$$

---

[1] Song and Tian impose more restrictive conditions, but their proof immediately extends to the setting we describe here.

Let also $\psi_\varepsilon^\pm$ be smooth quasi-psh functions decreasing to $\psi^\pm$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and set

$$\mu_\varepsilon = e^{\psi_\varepsilon^+ - \psi_\varepsilon^-} dV.$$

By construction, the Monge-Ampère flow φ in Theorem 6.1 is then obtained as the C^∞ limit on compact subsets of $]0,+\infty[\times\Omega$ of a family of smooth functions φ^ε on $[0,+\infty[\times X$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) *φ_t^ε is $(\theta+\varepsilon\omega)$-psh function for each $t \geq 0$.*
(ii) *The parabolic Monge-Ampère equation*

$$\dot{\varphi}_t^\varepsilon = \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)}{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi_t^\varepsilon}}\right)$$

is satisfied on $[0,+\infty[\times X$.
(iii) *$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0_+} \varphi_0^\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ uniformly on X.*

We now extract from [ST09]the following estimate to be used in what follows.

Lemma 6.3. *There exists $p > 1$ such that the measures $\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)$ are uniformly bounded in L^p for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ as long as t stays in a compact subset of $]0,+\infty[$.*

Proof. By [ST09, Corollary 3.4] we have a uniform estimate

$$\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \leq C\,\mu_\varepsilon$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, as long as t stays in a compact subset of $]0,+\infty[$. On the other hand, the assumption on μ guarantees that $\mu_\varepsilon = e^{\psi_\varepsilon^+ - \psi_\varepsilon^-} dV$ is bounded in L^p and the result follows. □

6.2. **Monotonicity along the flow.** The goal of this section is to show that the Ding and Mabuchi functionals satisfy the expected monotonicity property along the Monge-Ampère flow. We use the notation of Remark 6.2.

Lemma 6.4. *Let Mab_ε and Din_ε respectively denote the Mabuchi and the Ding functional with respect to $\theta+\varepsilon\omega$ and the measure μ_ε. Then for each $t > 0$ we have $\mathrm{Mab}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ and $\mathrm{Din}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

Proof. Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 1.4 show that φ_t^ε is uniformly bounded with respect to $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Since $\varphi_t^\varepsilon \to \varphi_t$ pointwise on Ω and φ_t is smooth on Ω it follows by dominated convergence that

$$\int_X (\varphi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t)\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t) = \int_\Omega (\varphi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t)\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t)$$

tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)$ pointwise on Ω and $\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)$ is bounded in L^p we also get by dominated convergence

$$\int_X (\varphi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t)\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and similarly

$$\int_X e^{-\varphi_t^\varepsilon} d\mu_\varepsilon \to \int_X e^{-\varphi_t} d\mu.$$

Let E_ε be the Monge-Ampère energy with respect to $\theta + \varepsilon\omega$. Since both φ_t^ε and φ_t are $(\theta+\varepsilon\omega)$-psh, the concavity of E_ε yields

$$\int (\varphi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t)\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \le E_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) - E_\varepsilon(\varphi_t) \le \int (\varphi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t)\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t).$$

We thus see that $E_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to E(\varphi_t)$, which proves that $\mathrm{Din}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ as well as the convergence of

$$E_\varepsilon^*\left(\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)\right) = E_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) - \int \varphi_t^\varepsilon \, \mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)$$

to $E^*\left(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t)\right)$. If we set $f_\varepsilon := \mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)/\mu_\varepsilon$ and $f := \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t)/\mu$ there remains to show that

$$H_{\mu_\varepsilon}\left(\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)\right) = \int (f_\varepsilon \log f_\varepsilon) d\mu_\varepsilon$$

converges to $H_\mu(\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t)) = \int (f\log f)d\mu$. Since $f_\varepsilon \log f_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded and converges pointwise to $f\log f$ on Ω, the result follows from the L^p convergence $\mu_\varepsilon \to \mu$. □

We are now in a position to prove:

Proposition 6.5. *Let φ_t be the Monge-Ampère flow of Theorem 6.1 with given initial data φ_0. Then both* Mab_μ *and* Din_μ *are non-increasing along the flow φ_t. Furthermore for each $0 < t_0 < t_1$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_{t_0}) - \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_{t_1}) \ge \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\| \mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t) - \mu_{\varphi_t} \right\|^2 dt.$$

Proof. We cannot directly differentiate the functionals since $dd^c\dot\varphi_t$ is *a priori* not even bounded on Ω. By Lemma 6.4, in order to show that $t \mapsto \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ is non-increasing it is enough to show the similar property for $\mathrm{Mab}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)$. Since φ_t^ε is smooth we may then perform the following standard computation:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Mab}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) &= \int \left(\varphi_t^\varepsilon + 1 + \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)}{\mu_\varepsilon}\right)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) \\ &= n v_\varepsilon^{-1}\int \left(\varphi_t^\varepsilon + 1 + \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)}{\mu_\varepsilon}\right)\right) dd^c\dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \wedge (\theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^{n-1} \\ &= n v_\varepsilon^{-1}\int \dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \, dd^c \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)}{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi_t^\varepsilon}}\right) \wedge (\theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^{n-1} \\ &= -n v_\varepsilon^{-1}\int d\dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \wedge d^c\dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \wedge (\theta + \varepsilon\omega + dd^c\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^{n-1} \le 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let us now prove the second assertion. For each $t > 0$ fixed, $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ is the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ of

$$\mathrm{Din}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) = -E_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) - \log\int e^{-\varphi_t^\varepsilon} d\mu_\varepsilon,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Din}\,\varepsilon,\mu_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) &= \int \dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \, \mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) - \int \dot\varphi_t^\varepsilon \, d\mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi_t^\varepsilon} \\ &= H_{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi_t^\varepsilon}}\left(\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)\right) + H_{\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi_t^\varepsilon)}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi_t^\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By Pinsker's inequality it follows that

$$\mathrm{Din}_\varepsilon(\varphi^\varepsilon_{t_0}) - \mathrm{Din}_\varepsilon(\varphi^\varepsilon_{t_1}) \geq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi^\varepsilon_t) - \mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi^\varepsilon_t}\|^2 dt.$$

Now the left-hand side converges to $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_{t_1}) - \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_{t_0})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. On the other hand $\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi^\varepsilon_t) - \mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi^\varepsilon_t}$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t) - \mu_{\varphi_t}$. We thus have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\mathrm{MA}_\varepsilon(\varphi^\varepsilon_t) - \mu_{\varepsilon,\varphi^\varepsilon_t}\| \geq \|\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_t) - \mu_{\varphi_t}\|$$

and we get the desired result thanks to Fatou's lemma. □

As a consequence, we get:

Corollary 6.6. *If* Din_μ *is bounded below then there exists a sequence* $t_j \to +\infty$ *such that* $\|\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_{t_j}) - \mu_{\varphi_{t_j}}\| \to 0$.

6.3. Weak convergence of the flow.

Theorem 6.7. *Let μ be a probability measure satisfying the following assumptions.*

- (i) *μ is of the form $\mu = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-} dV$, where $\psi^\pm$ are quasi-psh functions with analytic singularities and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$*
- (ii) *μ satisfies conditions (R) and (B) of Definition 4.7.*
- (iii) *The Mabuchi functional* Mab_μ *is proper.*
- (iv) *The Monge-Ampère mean field equation (4.1) admits a unique normalized solution φ_{MF}.*

Given a continuous θ-psh function φ_0 let φ_t be the Monge-Ampère flow of Theorem 6.1 with initial value φ_0. Then we have the convergence in energy

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\left(\varphi_t - \sup_X \varphi_t\right) = \varphi_{\mathrm{MF}}.$$

Proof. Set $\widetilde{\varphi}_t := \varphi_t - \sup_X \varphi_t$. By Proposition 6.5 Din_μ and Mab_μ are non-increasing along the flow. We have in particular $\mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\widetilde{\varphi}_t) = \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_t) \leq \mathrm{Mab}_\mu(\varphi_0)$. Since Mab_μ is assumed to be proper we infer from Theorem 4.13 that $(\widetilde{\varphi}_t)$ stays in a compact subset and that any weakly convergent subsequence converges in energy.

We claim that $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}) \to \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty)$ for any convergent subsequence $\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j} \to \widetilde{\varphi}_\infty$. Indeed we have $E(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}) \to E(\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty)$ since $\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}$ converges to $\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty$ in energy, whereas $\int e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}}\mu \to \int e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty}\mu$ by Corollary 2.12.

On the other hand since μ satisfies conditions (R) and (B) Theorem 4.8 implies that

$$\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_{\mathrm{MF}}) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Din}_\mu\,. \tag{6.1}$$

It follows in particular that Din_μ is bounded below, and Corollary 6.6 therefore yields a sequence $t_j \to +\infty$ such that $\mathrm{MA}(\varphi_{t_j}) - \mu_{\varphi_{t_j}} \to 0$ weakly as $j \to \infty$. Upon passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}$ converges (in energy) to some function $\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty$. We then have $\mathrm{MA}(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}) \to \mathrm{MA}(\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty)$ by Corollary 2.3, whereas $\mu_{\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}} \to \mu_{\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty}$ by Corollary 2.12. We thus see that this particular limit function $\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty$ satisfies the Monge-Ampère mean field equation, hence $\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty = \varphi_{\mathrm{MF}}$. Since $\mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t)$ is non-increasing along the flow we conclude using (6.1) that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Din}_\mu(\varphi_t) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)} \mathrm{Din}_\mu\,.$$

Since we have already shown that Din_μ is continuous along any convergent subsequence $\widetilde{\varphi}_{t_j}$ we infer from this that *any* limit point $\widetilde{\varphi}_\infty$ of $\widetilde{\varphi}_t$ minimizes Din_μ. By Theorem 4.6 it follows that φ_{MF} is the only limit point of $\widetilde{\varphi}_t$ as $t \to +\infty$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

7. Kähler-Einstein metrics on log-Fano varieties

7.1. **Discrepancies and induced measures.**

A *pair* (Y, D) is the data of a normal variety Y and an effective ($\mathbb{Q}$-Weil) $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D such that $K_Y + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. We then write

$$Y^0 := V_{\mathrm{reg}} \setminus \operatorname{supp} D.$$

Given a log-resolution $\pi : X \to Y$ of (Y, D) (which may and will always be chosen to be an isomorphism over Y^0), there exists a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D' such that $\pi_* D' = -D$ and

$$K_X = \pi^*(K_Y + D) + D'.$$

If we denote by $D' = \sum_j a_j D_j$ the irreducible decomposition of D' then a_j is known as the *discrepancy* of (Y, D) along D_j, and the pair (Y, D) is *klt* (a short-hand for Kawamata log-terminal) if $a_j > -1$ for all j. It is a basic fact about singularities of pairs that the same condition will then hold for all log-resolutions of Y.

The discrepancies a_i admit the following analytic interpretation. Let r be a positive integer such that $r(K_Y + D)$ is Cartier. If σ is a nowhere vanishing section of the corresponding line bundle over a small open set U of Y then $\left(i^{rn^2}\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}\right)^{1/r}$ defines a (smooth positive) volume form on $U^0 := U \cap Y^0$. If f_j is a local equation of D_j around a point of $\pi^{-1}(U)$, it is easily seen that we have

$$\pi^* \left(i^{rn^2}\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}\right)^{1/r} = \prod_i |f_i|^{2a_i} dV \tag{7.1}$$

locally on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ for some local volume form dV. This shows that (Y, D) is klt iff each local volume form on Y^0 of the form $\left(i^{rn^2}\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}\right)^{1/r}$ has locally finite mass near every point of Y, so that it can be viewed as a locally defined measure on Y. The previous construction globalizes as follows.

Definition 7.1. *Let (Y, D) be a pair. Then any smooth metric ϕ on $-(K_Y + D)$ induces a smooth positive volume form $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ on Y, defined as follows. For each nowhere zero section σ of a Cartier multiple $r(K_Y + D)$ over a small open set U of Y we set*

$$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} := |\sigma|_{r\phi}^{-2/r} \left(i^{rn^2}\sigma \wedge \overline{\sigma}\right)^{1/r} \tag{7.2}$$

where $|\sigma|_{r\phi} > 0$ denotes the pointwise length of σ with respect to the metric induced by ϕ on $r(K_Y + D)$.

It is indeed straightforward to see that $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ does not depend on the choice of r and σ. The above discussion shows that (Y, D) is klt iff some (hence any) adapted measure $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ has locally finite mass on Y. In that case we view $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ as a Radon measure on Y. Note that we have

$$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} = e^{\psi - \phi} m_{(Y,D),\psi} \tag{7.3}$$

for any two smooth metrics ϕ, ψ on $-(K_Y + D)$. The following result summarizes the analytic properties of such measures.

Lemma 7.2. *Let (Y, D) be a projective klt pair and let ϕ be a smooth metric on $-(K_Y + D)$.*

(i) *If $\pi : X \to Y$ is a log-resolution then $\pi^*\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ writes $e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-} dV$, where $\psi^\pm$ are quasi-psh functions with analytic singularities and $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$ for some $p > 1$.*

(ii) *On Y^0 the Ricci curvature of the volume form $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ coincides with $dd^c\phi$.*

(iii) *The Bergman space $\mathcal{O}(Y^0) \cap L^2(\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi})$ contains only constant functions.*

Property (ii) should be compared with [DT92, p.319, Remark].

Proof. Write as above $K_X = \pi^*(K_Y + D) + D'$ with $D' = \sum a_j D_j$. Let h_j be a smooth Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D_j)$ and let $s_j \in H^0(X, D_j)$ be a section with D_j as its zero divisor. If we set

$$\psi^+ := \sum_{a_j>0} 2a_j \log |s_j|_{h_j} \text{ and } \psi^- := \sum_{a_j<0} 2(-a_j) \log |s_j|_{h_j}$$

then (7.1) immediately shows that

$$\pi^*\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} = e^{\psi^+ - \psi^-} dV$$

where dV is a (smooth positive) volume form on X. Since $a_j > -1$ there exists $p > 1$ such that $pa_j > -1$ for all j, and the normal crossing property of $\sum_j D_j$ yields $e^{-\psi^-} \in L^p$, which proves (i).

The proof of (ii) is straightforward from the very definition of $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$. In order to prove (iii), let $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y^0) \cap L^2(\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi})$. We then have

$$\int_{\pi^{-1}(Y^0)} |f \circ \pi|^2 \prod_j |s_j|^{2a_j}_{h_j} dV < +\infty.$$

Since a holomorphic function extends accross a divisor as soon as it is locally L^2 near the divisor, the above L^2 condition implies that $f \circ \pi$ extends to $X \setminus \bigcup_{a_j>0} D_j$, or equivalently that f extends holomorphically to $V \setminus Z$ with $Z := \pi\left(\bigcup_{a_j>0} D_j\right)$. But the fact that D is effective implies that each D_j with $a_j > 0$ is π-exceptional. As a consequence Z has codimension at least two in Y, and the normality of Y therefore shows that f extends to Y, hence is constant. □

7.2. **Regularity of Kähler-Einstein metrics.** For simplicity we will use the following slightly abusive terminology.

Definition 7.3. *A* log-Fano variety *is a klt pair (Y, D) such that Y is projective and $-(K_Y + D)$ is ample.*

Let (Y, D) be a log-Fano variety. The *volume* of (Y, D) is the top-degree self-intersection number $v := (-c_1(K_Y + D))^n$. We denote by $\mathrm{PSH}(Y, D)$ the set of all psh metrics on $-(K_Y + D)$. We also fix a reference smooth strictly psh metric ϕ_0.

Given $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, D)$, we define a (possibly infinite) Borel measure by setting

$$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi} := e^{\phi_0 - \phi} m_{(Y,D),\phi_0}.$$

By (7.3) this definition does not depend on the choice of ϕ_0. Next, we define the *Monge-Ampère energy*

$$E_{(Y,D)} : \mathrm{PSH}(Y, D) \to [-\infty, +\infty[$$

by setting

$$E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) := \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} v^{-1}\int_Y (\phi-\phi_0)(dd^c\phi)^j \wedge (dd^c\phi_0)^{n-j} \tag{7.4}$$

for a smooth (or at least locally bounded) psh metric ϕ, and extending it by monotonicity to $\mathrm{PSH}(Y,D)$ by

$$E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) = \inf\left\{E_{(Y,D)}(\psi) \mid \psi \text{ smooth psh, } \psi \geq \phi\right\}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{E}^1(Y,D) := \left\{\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y,D) | E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) > -\infty\right\}.$$

Lemma 7.4. *For each $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)$ we have $e^{\phi_0-\phi} \in L^q(m_{\phi_0})$ for all $q < +\infty$. In particular, the measure $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$ has finite mass on Y.*

Proof. Given a log-resolution $\pi : X \to Y$ set $\theta := \pi^* dd^c\phi_0$, which is a big semipositive closed $(1,1)$-form on X with rational cohomology class. By Theorem 2.8 the form θ satisfies condition (L) of Definition 2.7. Setting $\varphi := (\phi - \phi_0)\circ\pi$ induces homeomorphisms $\mathrm{PSH}(Y,D) \simeq \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ and $\mathcal{E}^1(Y,D) \simeq \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$. The result now follows from Lemma 7.2 and condition (L). □

Definition 7.5. *A* Kähler-Einstein metric *on (Y,D) is a psh metric $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)$ such that $(dd^c\phi)^n = \lambda\, m_{(Y,D),\phi}$ for some normalizing constant $\lambda > 0$.*

Theorem 7.6. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)$ is a Kähler-Einstein metric on (Y,D) then ϕ is automatically continuous on Y and smooth on Y^0.*

Proof. We use the notation of the proof of Lemma 7.4. In terms of the θ-psh function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,\theta)$ corresponding to ϕ the equation rewrites as the Monge-Ampère mean field equation $(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^{-\varphi+c}\mu$, with $\mu := \pi^*\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_0}$. The result follows by Theorem 4.1. □

Remark 7.7. *When Y is smooth and D is supported on a smooth irreducible hypersurface, it is proved in* [Ber10, JMR11] *that any Kähler-Einstein metric has cone singularities along D. In fact,* [JMR11] *even implies that the metric admits a full asymptotic expansion along D.*

7.3. **Existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics.** Let (Y,D) be a log-Fano variety with a reference smooth strictly psh metric ϕ_0 on $-(K_Y + D)$. We define the following functionals. For simplicity we assume ϕ_0 normalized by $\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_0} = 1$. For $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y,D)$ we set

$$J_{(Y,D)}(\phi) := v^{-1}\int_Y (\phi-\phi_0)(dd^c\phi_0)^n - E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) \in [0,+\infty]$$

and

$$I_{(Y,D)}(\phi) = v^{-1}\int_Y (\phi_0-\phi)\left((dd^c\phi)^n - (dd^c\phi_0)^n\right) \in [0,+\infty]$$

For each probability measure μ on Y we define

$$E^*_{(Y,D)}(\mu) = \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)}\left(E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) - \int_Y (\phi-\phi_0)\mu\right) \in [0,+\infty]$$

If $\mu = v^{-1}(dd^c\phi_\mu)^n$ with $\phi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y, D)$ then the supremum defining $E^*_{(Y,D)}(\mu)$ is achieved for $\phi = \phi_\mu$.

The *Ding functional* and the *Mabuchi functional* of (Y, D) are now defined on $\mathcal{E}^1(Y, D)$ by setting

$$\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D),\phi} := -E_{(Y,D)}(\phi) - \log\left(\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}\right) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and

$$\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}(\phi) := \left(H_{\mu_0} - E^*_{(Y,D)}\right)\left(v^{-1}(dd^c\phi)^n)\right) \in]-\infty, +\infty]$$

with $\mu_0 := \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_0}$. Unravelling the definitions yields the analogue of Chen's formula:

$$\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}(\phi) = v^{-1}\int_Y \log\left(\frac{v^{-1}(dd^c\phi)^n}{\mu_0}\right)(dd^c\phi)^n + J_{(Y,D)}(\phi) - I_{(Y,D)}(\phi).$$

We also have

$$\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)} \geq \mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}\,.$$

In terms of these functionals we then have the following variational characterization of Kähler-Einstein metrics.

Theorem 7.8. *Given $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y, D)$ the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *ϕ is Kähler-Einstein.*
- (ii) $\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}(\phi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)} \mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}$.
- (iii) $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}(\phi) = \inf_{\mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)} \mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$.

If $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$ is proper (i.e. $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)} \to +\infty$ as $J_{(Y,D)} \to +\infty$), then there exists at least one Kähler-Einstein metric ϕ.

As we shall see below (Theorem 7.14), the Kähler-Einstein metric is furhermore unique (up to a constant) under the properness assumption. Note that we are not restricting to metrics invariant under a compact group of automorphisms.

Proof. We use the notation of Lemma 7.4. By Lemma 7.2 the measure $\mu = \pi^*\mathrm{m}_{\psi_0}$ satisfies conditions (R) and (B) of Definition 4.7. We may thus apply Theorems 4.6, 4.8 to get the result. □

Following [Tia87, TY87, Ber10] we introduce:

Definition 7.9. *The* α-invariant *of a log-Fano variety (Y, D) is defined as*

$$\alpha(Y, D) := \sup\left\{\alpha > 0,\ \sup_\phi \int e^{\alpha(\phi_0 - \phi)}\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_0} < \infty\right\},$$

where the inner sup ranges over all $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, D)$ normalized by $\sup_Y(\phi - \phi_0) = 0$.

It is easy to see that $\alpha(Y, D)$ is independent of the choice of ϕ_0.

By Lemma 4.12 we have:

Corollary 7.10. *If $\alpha(Y, D) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ then $\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}$ is coercive, i.e. $\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)} \geq \varepsilon J_{(Y,D)} - C$ for some $\varepsilon, C > 0$. In particular (Y, D) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.*

7.4. **Uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein metrics.** The goal of this section is to explain what has to be added to [Bern11] to get the following version of Bando and Mabuchi's uniqueness theorem [BM87].

Theorem 7.11. *Let* (Y, D) *be a log-Fano variety. For any two Kähler-Einstein metrics* ϕ_0 *and* ϕ_1 *there exists* $F \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$F^*\phi_1 = \phi_0 + c.$$

Here $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$ denotes the identity component of the stabilizer of D in $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. Since Y is reduced and projective, the identity component $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y)$ is a complex Lie group (cf. [Kol96, Exercise 2.6.4]), hence so is its closed subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$. We will need the following result, which is certainly a standard fact even though we have not been able to locate a precise reference in the literature. We thank Dror Varolin for his help with the proof.

Lemma 7.12. *Let* Y *be a normal compact complex space, let* $\Omega \subset Y_{\mathrm{reg}}$ *be a Zariski open subset with* $\mathrm{codim}(Y \setminus \Omega) \geq 2$*, and let* $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be an open subset. Let also* $(V_t)_{t \in S}$ *be a holomorphic time-dependent vector field on the complex manifold* Ω*. Then the local flow of* V_t *extends to a holomorphic family* $(F_{t,t'})_{t,t' \in S}$ *of* $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y)$ *satisfying the expected cocycle condition.*

The statement applies in particular to $\Omega = Y_{\mathrm{reg}}$, since the singular locus of a normal space has codimension at least 2.

Proof. Each point of Y admits an open neighborhood U isomorphic to a closed analytic subset of a polydisc Δ^N. We claim that the restriction of V_t to $\Omega \cap U$ is induced by a holomorphic time-dependent vector field $(\widetilde{V}_t)_{t \in S}$ on Δ^N that is tangent to $\Omega \cap U$. To see this, set $Z := U \times S$ and recall that the relative tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{Z/S}$ is defined as the coherent $\mathcal{O}_Z$-module dual to the sheaf of so-called relative Kähler differentials $\Omega^1_{Z/S}$. Note that $Z \setminus (\Omega \times S)$ has codimension at least 2 and $\mathcal{T}_{Z/S}$ is a dual sheaf. Since Z is normal, it follows that the section of $\mathcal{T}_{Z/S}$ over $\Omega \times S$ corresponding to $(V_t)_{t \in S}$ automatically extends to a global section $\sigma \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{T}_{Z/S})$. Now $\mathcal{T}_{Z/S}$ is a subsheaf of the pull-back of $\mathcal{T}_{\Delta^N}$ to $U \times S$. Since $\Delta^N \times S$ is Stein we thus see that the restriction of σ to $U \times S$ extends as desired to a holomorphic time-dependent vector field on Δ^N.

Let now $F_{t,t'}$ be the local flow of $\widetilde{V}_t$ on Δ^N. Since $\widetilde{V}$ is tangent to $\Omega \cap U$, uniqueness for the Cauchy problem shows that $F_{t,t'}$ locally preserves $\Omega \cap U$, at least when t' is sufficiently close to t. By analytic continuation, it follows that $F_{t,t'}$ preserves U for all t, t' for which it is defined. As a consequence, for each compact subset $K \subset U$ we have $F_{t,t'}(K) \subset U$ for all t, t' sufficiently close to any given point. This implies the desired results since Y, being compact, may be covered by finitely many such compact sets K. □

Proof of Theorem 7.11. Set $S = \{0 < \Re t < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let π be a log-resolution of (Y, D), so that we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times S \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
Y \times S \arrow[r, "p_Y"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

The klt condition enables to write in a unique way $\pi^*(K_Y+D) = K_X+\Delta-E$ where Δ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with coefficients < 1, E is an effective divisor with integer coefficients, and $\pi_*(\Delta - E) = D$. We do not claim that Δ and E are without common components, but on the other hand observe that Δ and E have SNC support and E is necessarily π-exceptional. Set $L := -K_X + E$, so that the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$ induces a holomorphic L-valued n-form u on X having E as its zero divisor. We have

$$L = -\pi^*(K_Y + D) + \Delta \tag{7.5}$$

where $-\pi^*(K_Y + D)$ is nef and big and (X, Δ) is klt, so that $H^{n,1}(X, L) = 0$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. On the one hand, let ψ_Δ be the psh metric on (the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle attached to) Δ such that $dd^c\psi_\Delta = [\Delta]$, the integration current on Δ. By [Bern11, §2.2], we may consider on the other hand the "bounded geodesic" ϕ_t connecting ϕ_0 and ϕ_1. More specifically, ϕ is a bounded psh metric on $-p_Y^*(K_Y+D)$ such that $(dd^c\phi)^{n+1} = 0$ on $Y \times S$, ϕ_t only depends on $\Re t$, is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in t, and converges uniformly to ϕ_0 (resp. ϕ_1) as $t \to 0$ (resp. $t \to 1$). As in Section 4.4 we see that $t \mapsto \mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}(\phi_t)$ is a convex and continuous function on $[0, 1]$ that takes the value $\sup \mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}$ at both end points. It follows that $\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}(\phi_t) = \sup \mathrm{Din}_{(Y,D)}$, so that ϕ_t is a Kähler-Einstein metric for all t by Theorem 7.8. By (7.5) we may view

$$\tau := \pi'^*\phi + p_X^*\psi_\Delta$$

as a psh metric on p_X^*L, thanks to (7.5). Arguing exactly as in [Bern11, §6.2], one constructs

$$v \in H^0\left(X \times S, \Omega^{n-1}_{X\times S/S}(p_X^*L)\right)$$

such that

$$(p_X)_*\left(i^{(n+1)^2} dd^c\tau \wedge (u + dt \wedge v) \wedge \overline{(u + dt \wedge v)}\, e^{-\tau}\right) = 0,$$

and such that the L^2-norm of $v_t \in H^0\left(X, \Omega^{n-1}_X(L)\right)$ (with respect to τ_t, hence with respect to any smooth background metric on L as well) is uniformly bounded with respect to $t \in S$. But we have $dd^c\tau = dd^c(\pi'^*\phi) + [p_X^*\Delta]$, so we infer

$$dd^c(\pi'^*\phi) \wedge (u + dt \wedge v) = 0 \tag{7.6}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $dd^c(\pi'^*\phi)$ puts no mass on analytic sets. As in [Bern11] we may now define a time-dependent vector field V_t on X by requiring that $i_{V_t}u = -v_t$, but the difference in our case is that V_t is only holomorphic on $X \setminus E$, and will *a priori* have poles along the zero divisor E of u. Note that the corresponding time-independent vector field

$$V' := V_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

on $(X \setminus E) \times S$ satisfies $i_{V'}(dt \wedge u) = u + dt \wedge v$. Since we have $dd^c(\pi'^*\phi) \wedge (dt \wedge u) = 0$ for bidegree reasons, we infer from (7.6) and the derivation property of $i_{V'}$ that

$$i_{V'}\left(dd^c(\pi'^*\phi)\right) \wedge (dt \wedge u) = 0.$$

It follows that $i_{V'}\left(dd^c(\pi'^*\phi)\right) = 0$ for bidegree reasons, hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{V'}\left(dd^c(\pi'^*\phi)\right) = 0 \tag{7.7}$$

for the Lie derivative on $(X \setminus E) \times S$, by Cartan's formula. Now the modification π is an isomorphism over a Zariski open subset $\Omega \subset Y$ whose complement has codimension at least 2. Since E is π-exceptional, V_t is at least well-defined on $\pi^{-1}(\Omega)$, hence descends to a holomorphic time-dependent vector field on Ω, that we also denote by V_t for simplicity. Using Lemma 7.12 we thus get a global flow $F_{t,t'} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(Y)$, and (7.7) shows that ϕ_t moves along the flow on Ω, hence also on Y by continuity. We thus have for all $t, t' \in S$

$$F_{t,t'}^* dd^c \phi_{t'} = dd^c \phi_t.$$

It follows that $F_{t,t'}^*(dd^c\phi_{t'})^n = (dd^c\phi_t)^n$, hence $F_{t,t'}^*(dd^c\phi_{t'} + [D]) = dd^c\phi_t + [D]$ thanks to the Kähler-Einstein equation, and we thus see that $F_{t,t'}$ stabilizes D, hence belongs to $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$. It remains to show that $F_{t,t'}$ admits a limit $F \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$ as $t \to 0$ and $t' \to 1$.

To see this, observe that the uniform L^2-bound of v_t for $t \in S$ shows that V_t is locally bounded on Ω, uniformly with respect to $t \in S$. As a consequence, its flow forms a Cauchy sequence locally on Ω as t approaches the boundary of S, and we infer that $F = \lim_{t\to 0, t'\to 1} F_{t,t'}$ exists as a holomorphic map $\Omega \to Y$. We have $F_{t,t'}^*(K_Y + D) = K_Y + D$ for all $t, t' \in S$, hence also $F^*(K_Y + D) = K_Y + D$ on Ω. Since Y is normal and $\mathrm{codim}(Y \setminus \Omega) \geq 2$, the induced action on sections of $-m(K_Y + D)$ on Ω extends to a linear automorphism of $H^0(Y, -m(K_Y + D))$. But the latter space of sections yields an embedding of Y in a projective space for $m \gg 1$ since $-(K_Y + D)$ is ample, and we obtain the desired extension of F to an automorphism of Y. □

Remark 7.13. *In* [DT92, Definition 1.2]*, the authors introduce an* ad hoc *notion of admissibility for holomorphic vector yields on the regular part* Y_{reg} *of a normal projective variety* Y *such that* $-K_Y$ *is* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier and ample. The above reasoning using Lemma 7.12 shows in fact that every holomorphic vector field on* Y_{reg} *is automatically admissible in their sense.*

As a consequence we show:

Theorem 7.14. *If the Mabuchi functional of* (Y, D) *is proper then the Kähler-Einstein metric* ϕ *provided by Theorem 7.8 is in fact unique up to a constant.*

Proof. Let $(F_t)_{t\in\mathbb{C}}$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D)$. By Theorem 7.11 it will be enough to show that F_t preserves $dd^c\phi$ for all t. Since $F_t^* dd^c\phi$ is also a Kähler-Einstein metric, $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}(F_t^*\phi)$ is independent of t by Theorem 7.8, and $J_\phi(F_t^*\phi)$ is thus bounded by the properness assumption. But since $F_t^*\phi$ is a geodesic in the space of psh metrics, $J_\phi(F_t^*\phi)$ is a bounded subharmonic function of t (compate [BBGZ09, Bern11]), hence is identically zero since it vanishes for $t = 0$. By [BBGZ09] it then follows that $\mathrm{MA}(F_t^*\phi) = \mathrm{MA}(\phi)$ for all t, hence $F_t^* dd^c\phi = dd^c\phi$ for all t. □

Remark 7.15. *Since* F_t *preserves the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-line bundle* $-(K_Y + D)$*, it is not difficult to refine the end of the argument and show that actually* $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y, D) = \{1\}$ *when* $\mathrm{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$ *is proper.*

8. RICCI ITERATION AND KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW ON LOG-FANO VARIETIES

In this section we let as above (Y, D) be a log-Fano variety with a given reference metric ϕ_0. Our goal is to spell out our convergence results on Ricci iteration and Kähler-Ricci flow in this situation. We then introduce a class of examples, generalizing [AGP06].

8.1. **Ricci iteration on log-Fano varieties.** For each $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)$ let $\operatorname{Ric}^{-1}(\phi) \in \mathcal{E}^1(Y,D)$ be the unique solution of

$$(dd^c \operatorname{Ric}^{-1}\phi)^n = \frac{v}{\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}} \mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi}$$

normalized by $\sup_V(\operatorname{Ric}^{-1}\phi - \phi_0) = 0$. As a direct application of Corollary 5.6 we have:

Theorem 8.1. *Assume that* $\operatorname{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$ *is proper and let* ϕ_{KE} *be the Kähler-Einstein metric provided by Theorem 7.14, normalized by* $\sup_Y(\phi_{\mathrm{KE}} - \phi_0) = 0$. *Given a smooth initial metric* ψ_0 *on* $-(K_Y + D)$ *let* $\psi_j := \operatorname{Ric}^{-j}\psi_0$ *be the* j*-th iteration of the inverse Ricci operator. Then:*

(i) ψ_j *is continuous on* Y *and smooth on* Y^0 *for all* j*.*
(ii) $\psi_j \to \phi_{\mathrm{KE}}$ *uniformly on* Y *and in* C^∞ *topology on* Y^0*.*

8.2. **Convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow.** By Theorem 6.1, given a continuous psh metric $\psi_0 \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y,D)$ there is a uniquely defined Kähler-Ricci flow $(\psi_t)_{t>0}$, characterized by the properties:

(i) ψ_t is a locally bounded psh metric for each $t > 0$.
(ii) $(t,x) \mapsto \psi_t(x)$ is smooth on $]0,+\infty[\times Y^0$, where it satisfies

$$\dot{\psi}_t = \log\left(\frac{v^{-1}(dd^c\psi_t)^n}{\widetilde{\mathrm{m}}_{\psi_t}}\right),$$

with $\widetilde{\mathrm{m}}_{\psi_t}$ the probability measure induced by $\mathrm{m}_{(Y,D),\phi_t}$.
(iii) $\psi_t \to \psi_0$ uniformly on compact subsets of Y^0 as $t \to 0$.

Thanks to Lemma 7.2 we may apply Theorem 6.7 to get:

Theorem 8.2. *Assume that* $\operatorname{Mab}_{(Y,D)}$ *is proper and let* ϕ_{KE} *be the Kähler-Einstein metric provided by Theorem 7.14, normalized by* $\sup_Y(\phi_{\mathrm{KE}} - \phi_0) = 0$. *If* ψ_t *is the flow as above with given initial data* ψ_0 *then* $\psi_t - c_t \to \phi_{\mathrm{KE}}$ *in energy as* $t \to +\infty$*, with* $c_t := \sup_Y(\psi_t - \psi_0)$.

In particular we have $dd^c\psi_t \to dd^c\phi_{\mathrm{KE}}$ *and* $(dd^c\psi_t)^n \to (dd^c\phi_{\mathrm{KE}})^n$ *on* Y *in the weak topology.*

8.3. **From Fano manifold to log-Fano varieties.** An interesting class of log-Fano varieties arises by taking quotients of Fano varieties. More precisely, let $p : Z \to Z/G =: Y$ be a (possibly ramified) Galois cover with (finite) Galois group G, and assume that Z (hence Y) is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. Then there exists a unique effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D supported on the ramification locus of Y and such that $K_Z = p^*(K_Y + D)$. This shows that (Y,D) has klt singularities iff $Z = (Z,\emptyset)$ does, and (Y,D) is log-Fano iff Z is Fano. Note that $\mathrm{PSH}(Y,D) \simeq \mathrm{PSH}(Z,\emptyset)^G$, and in particular Kähler-Einstein metrics on (Y,D) correspond precisely to G-invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on Z.

Our next goal is to adapt a very nice construction of [AGP06] and produce a rather broad class of log-Fano varieties admitting a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Theorem 8.3. *Let* Y *be a Kähler-Einstein Fano manifold, and let* $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_Y$ *be an effective anticanonical* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor on* Y *such that* (Y,D) *is lc. Then for each* $0 < \lambda < 1$ $(Y,\lambda D)$ *is a log-Fano variety with* $\operatorname{Din}_{(Y,\lambda D)}$ *proper. In particular,* $(Y,\lambda D)$ *admits a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.*

It is interesting to compare this result with [Ber10, Theorem 7]. In the latter result $D \sim -K_Y$ is a smooth irreducible anticanonical divisor on a Fano manifold Y, so that (Y,D)

is lc, but Y is *not* assumed to be Kähler-Einstein. It is then proved that $\alpha(Y, \lambda D) \to 1$ as $\lambda \to 1_-$. In particular, $\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,\lambda D)}$ is proper for λ sufficiently close to 1, and $(Y, \lambda D)$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Example 8.4. *Let H be a degree $2n$ irreducible hypersurface of $Y := \mathbb{P}^n$ (with $n \geq 2$). Assume that the only possible singularities of H are ordinary double points (i.e. locally isomorphic to $\{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 = 0\}$), so that (Y, H) is lc (see* [Kol97, Example 8.15]*). Then $D := \frac{n+1}{2n} H$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 8.3, and it follows in particular that $\left(Y, \frac{1}{2} H\right)$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.*

If we let $p : Z \to Y$ be a double cover of Y ramified along H then $K_Z = p^*\left(K_Y + \frac{1}{2} H\right)$. It follows that Z is a Fano variety with klt singularities that admits a Kähler-Einstein metric (invariant under the Galois group of p). Note that such a metric cannot be constructed with orbifold techniques when $n \geq 3$. Indeed the singularities of Z are also ordinary double points, which have trivial local fundamental groups since $\dim Z = n \geq 3$, hence cannot be quotient singularities.

Proof. Let us first fix some notation. For the duration of the proof we choose $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_Y$ we have $-K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\beta(K_Y + \lambda D)$ with $\beta := (1-\lambda)^{-1} > 1$. Mapping ϕ to $\beta\phi$ therefore induces a bijection between $\mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$ and psh metrics on $-K_Y$. Let ψ_0 be a reference smooth strictly psh metric on $-K_Y$, and use $\phi_\lambda := \beta^{-1}\psi_0$ as a reference point in $\mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$. If we normalize the energy functionals by $E_Y(\psi_0) = 0$ and $E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi_\lambda) = 0$ we then have

$$E_Y(\beta\phi) = \beta E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) \tag{8.1}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$. We also set $\mu_0 := \mathrm{m}_{Y,\psi_0}$ and $\mu_\lambda := \mathrm{m}_{(Y,\lambda D),\phi_\lambda}$, so that

$$\mathrm{m}_{(Y,\lambda D),\phi} = e^{\phi_\lambda - \phi}\mu_\lambda \tag{8.2}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$ by (7.3). From the definition, it is immediate to check that $\mu_\lambda = e^{-\lambda\rho}\mu_0$ for some quasi-psh function ρ such that

$$\rho = \log |s_D|^2 + O(1), \tag{8.3}$$

the norm of s_D being computed with respect to any given smooth metric on the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle attached to D. The assumption that (Y, D) is lc means that $|s_D|^{-2c}$ is integrable with respect to μ_0 for each $c < 1$, hence $e^{-c\rho} \in L^1(\mu_0)$ for $c < 1$.

Step 1. We claim that for each $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ there exists $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$-E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \log\left(\int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_\lambda\right) - C \tag{8.4}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$. Since Y is Kähler-Einstein, it is a theorem of Ding and Tian (see also Theorem 7.8) that Din_Y is bounded below, which means that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$-E_Y(\psi) \geq \log\left(\int_Y e^{\psi_0 - \psi}\mu_0\right) - C_0$$

for all psh metrics ψ on $-K_Y$. By (8.1) this writes

$$-E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\int_Y e^{\beta(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_0\right) - C_1$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{PSH}(Y, \lambda D)$. We now use Hölder's inequality to compare the right-hand integral with

$$\int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi)} \mu_\lambda = \int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi) - \lambda\rho} \mu_0.$$

We thus write

$$(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi) - \lambda\rho = t_\varepsilon \beta(\phi_\lambda - \phi) - (1-t_\varepsilon)\frac{\lambda}{1-t_\varepsilon}\rho$$

with

$$t_\varepsilon := \beta^{-1}(1+\varepsilon) = (1-\lambda)(1+\varepsilon).$$

For $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ we have $0 < t_\varepsilon < 1$, hence

$$\log\left(\int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi) - \lambda\rho}\mu_0\right) \le t_\varepsilon \log\left(\int_Y e^{\beta(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_0\right) + (1-t_\varepsilon)\log\left(\int_Y e^{-(1-t_\varepsilon)^{-1}\lambda\rho}\mu_0\right)$$

by convexity of the exponential function (Hölder's inequality). But for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ we also have $(1-t_\varepsilon)^{-1}\lambda < 1$, so that the last integral to the right is finite (since $e^{-c\rho} \in L^1(\mu_0)$ for all $c < 1$). Dividing out by $1+\varepsilon$ we thus get

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\log\left(\int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi) - \lambda\rho}\mu_0\right) \le \frac{1}{\beta}\log\left(\int_Y e^{\beta(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_0\right) + C_2,$$

for some $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon)$ independent of ϕ, and the desired estimate (8.4 follows.

Step 2. The measure μ_λ has $L^{\lambda^{-1}}$-density with respect to the smooth positive volume form μ_0. As a consequence, μ_λ has positive α-invariant with respect to the ample class $-(K_Y + \lambda D)$ (cf. Section 2.5), and we may thus chose $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ as in Step 1 to furthermore satisfy

$$\log\left(\int_Y e^{\varepsilon(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_\lambda\right) \le -\varepsilon \sup_Y(\phi - \phi_\lambda) + C_3 \le \varepsilon \int_Y (\phi_\lambda - \phi)\mathrm{MA}(\phi_\lambda) + C_4 \tag{8.5}$$

for some constants C_3, C_4 independent of ϕ. On the other hand, writing

$$\phi_\lambda - \phi = (1-\varepsilon)\left((1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi)\right) + \varepsilon\left(\varepsilon(\phi_\lambda - \phi)\right)$$

yields by convexity

$$\log\left(\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,\lambda D),\phi}\right) = \log\left(\int_Y e^{\phi_\lambda - \phi}\mu_\lambda\right) \le (1-\varepsilon)\log\left(\int_Y e^{(1+\varepsilon)(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_\lambda\right) + \varepsilon\log\left(\int_Y e^{\varepsilon(\phi_\lambda - \phi)}\mu_\lambda\right).$$

Using (8.4) and (8.5) to bound the first and the second term to the right respectively, we get

$$\log\left(\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,\lambda D),\phi}\right) \le -(1-\varepsilon^2)E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) + \varepsilon^2 \int_Y (\phi_\lambda - \phi)\mathrm{MA}(\phi_\lambda) + C_5.$$

Now

$$\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) = -E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) - \log\left(\int_Y \mathrm{m}_{(Y,\lambda D),\phi}\right)$$

and

$$J_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) = \int_Y (\phi - \phi_\lambda)\mathrm{MA}(\phi_\lambda) - E_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi),$$

so we obtain the coercivity estimate

$$\mathrm{Din}_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) \ge \varepsilon^2 J_{(Y,\lambda D)}(\phi) - C_5.$$

□

References

[AGP06] C.Arezzo, A.Ghigi, G.P.Pirola: Symmetries, quotients and Kähler-Einstein metrics. J. Reine Angew. Math. **591** (2006), 177–200.

[Aub78] T. Aubin: Equation de type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes. Bull. Sci. Math. **102** (1978), 63–95.

[BM87] S. Bando, T. Mabuchi: Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler metrics modulo connected group actions, in *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985* (T. Oda, Ed.), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, Kinokuniya, 1987, 11–40.

[BT82] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor: A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions. Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1–40.

[BT87] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor: Fine topology, Šilov boundary, and $(dd^c)^n$. J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), no. 2, 225–251.

[Ber10] R. Berman: A thermodynamical formalism for Monge-Ampère equations, Moser-Trudinger inequalities and Kähler-Einstein metrics. Preprint (2010) arXiv:1011.3976.

[BeBe11] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson: Moser-Trudinger inequalities. Preprint (2011) arxiv:1109.1263.

[BeBo10] R. Berman, S. Boucksom: Growth of balls of holomorphic sections and energy at equilibrium. Invent. Math. **181** (2010), no. 2, 337–394.

[BBGZ09] R. Berman, S. Boucksom, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi: A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampère equations. Preprint (2009) arXiv:0907.4490.

[Bern06] B. Berndtsson: Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains. Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), no. 6, 1633–1662.

[Bern11] B. Berndtsson: A Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for Fano manifolds and the Bando-Mabuchi uniqueness theorem. Preprint (2011) arXiv:1103.0923.

[Bło99] Z. Błocki: On the regularity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator. Complex geometric analysis in Pohang (1997) 181–189, Contemp. Math. **222**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Bło] Z. Błocki: The Calabi-Yau theorem. Preprint available at http://gamma.im.uj.edu.pl/ blocki/publ/index.html.

[Bou02] S. Boucksom: On the volume of a line bundle. Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 10, 1043–1063.

[Bou04] S. Boucksom: Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76.

[BEGZ10] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi: Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes. Acta Math. **205** (2010), 199–262.

[Che00] X.X. Chen: On the lower bound of the Mabuchi energy and its application. Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2000), no. 12, 607-623

[Dem2] J.P. Demailly: Théorie de Hodge L^2 et théorèmes d'annulation. Introduction à la théorie de Hodge, 3–111, Panor. Synthèses, 3, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996.

[Dem92] J.P. Demailly: Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory. J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 361–409.

[DK01] J.P. Demailly, J. Kollár: Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), no. 4, 525–556.

[DP04] J.P. Demailly, M. Păun: Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 1247–1274.

[DZ] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni: Large deviations techniques and applications. Corrected reprint of the second (1998) edition. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, **38**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[DZ10] S. Dinew, Z. Zhang: On stability and continuity of bounded solutions of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds. Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 1, 367–388.

[Ding88] W.-Y. Ding: Remarks on the existence problem of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics. Math. Ann. **282** (1988), 463–471.

[DT92] W.-Y. Ding, G. Tian: Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant. Invent. Math. **110** (1992), no. 2, 315-335

[DNS10] T.C. Dinh, V.A. Nguyên, N. Sibony: Exponential estimates for plurisubharmonic functions. J. Diff. Geom. **84**, no. 3 (2010), 465-488.

[EGZ09] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi: Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 607-639.

[EGZ11] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi: Viscosity solutions to degenerate Complex Monge-Ampère equations. Comm.Pure & Appl.Math **64** (2011), 1059–1094.

[FJ05] C. Favre, M. Jonsson: Valuations and multiplier ideals. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 3, 655–684.

[GK07] A. Ghigi, J. Kollár: Kähler-Einstein metrics on orbifolds and Einstein metrics on spheres. Comment. Math. Helv. **82** (2007), 877–902.

[GZ07] V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi: The weighted Monge-Ampère energy of quasiplurisubharmonic functions. J. Funct. An. **250** (2007), 442-482.

[Hiep10] P.H. Hiep: Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampere equations on compact Kähler manifolds. Ann. Inst. Fourier **60** (2010), no. 5, 1857-1869.

[JMR11] T.D. Jeffres, R. Mazzeo, Y.A. Rubinstein: Kähler-Einstein metrics with edge singularities. Preprint (2011) arXiv:1105.5216.

[Kel09] J. Keller: Ricci iterations on Kähler classes. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **8** (2009), no. 4, 743-768.

[Kol96] J. Kollár: Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics **32**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Kol97] J. Kollár: Singularities of pairs. Algebraic geometry - Santa Cruz 1995, 221–287. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[Koł98] S. Kołodziej: The complex Monge-Ampère equation. Acta Math. **180** (1998), no. 1, 69–117.

[Koł09] S. Kołodziej: Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p: the case of compact Kähler manifolds. Math. Ann. **342** (2008), no. 2, 379–386.

[Li08] H.Li: On the lower bound of the K-energy and F-functional. Osaka J. Math. **45** (2008), no. 1, 253-264.

[Pau08] M. Păun: Regularity properties of the degenerate Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **29** (2008), no. 6, 623-630.

[PSSW08] D. H. Phong, J. Song, J. Sturm, B. Weinkove: The Moser-Trudinger inequality on Kähler-Einstein manifolds. Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 4, 1067–1085.

[Rub08] Y. Rubinstein: Some discretizations of geometric evolution equations and the Ricci iteration on the space of Kähler metrics. Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 5, 1526–1565.

[Siu87] Y. T. Siu: Lectures on Hermitian-Einstein metrics for stable bundles and Kähler-Einstein metrics. DMV Seminar, 8. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1987.

[Sko72] H. Skoda: Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans $\mathbb{C}^n$. Bull. Soc. Math. France **100** (1972), 353–408.

[ST08] J. Song, G. Tian: Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow. Preprint (2008) arXiv:0802.2570.

[ST09] J. Song, G. Tian: The Kähler-Ricci flow through singularities. Preprint (2009) arXiv:0909.4898.

[SzTo09] G. Székelyhidi, V. Tosatti: Regularity of weak solutions of a complex Monge-Ampère equation. Preprint (2009) arXiv:0912.1808.

[Tia87] G. Tian: On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$. Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 225–246.

[Tia97] G. Tian: Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. Inv. Math. **130** (1997), 239–265.

[Tian] G. Tian: Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2000).

[TZ07] G. Tian, X. Zhu: Convergence of Kähler-Ricci flow. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 3, 675–699.

[TY87] G. Tian, S.T. Yau: Kähler-Einstein metrics on complex surfaces with $c_1 > 0$. Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), no.1, 175–203.

[Tru84] N. S. Trudinger: Regularity of solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6) 3 (1984), no. 3, 421–430.

[Tsu88] H. Tsuji: Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. Math. Ann. **281** (1988), no. 1, 123–133.

[Yau78] S. T. Yau: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no. 3, 339–411.

[Zer01] A. Zeriahi: Volume and capacity of sublevel sets of a Lelong class of psh functions. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 1, 671–703.

Chalmers Techniska Högskola, Göteborg, Sweden
E-mail address: `robertb@math.chalmers.se`

CNRS-Université Pierre et Marie Curie, I.M.J., F-75251 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail address: `boucksom@math.jussieu.fr`

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble 1, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France
E-mail address: `Philippe.Eyssidieux@ujf-grenoble.fr`

I.M.T., Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse cedex 09, France
E-mail address: `vincent.guedj@math.univ-toulouse.fr`
E-mail address: `ahmed.zeriahi@math.univ-toulouse.fr`